

Department of Defense

Report on 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Implementation

November 2013

Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment)

Preparation of this report/study cost the Department of Defense a total of approximately \$78,000 for the 2013 Fiscal Year.

Table of Contents

Vol	ume	I

Introduction	5
Installation Detail	
Army	21
Navy/Marine Corps	349
Air Force	491
Defense Agencies	519
Volume II	
Appendices	
Appendix 1: Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERF	P) Issues

Appendix 2: Additional (Non-DERP) Environmental Remediation Issues

Appendix 3: Section 2907, BRAC Act of 1990

Introduction

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 amended the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510) to authorize a round of closures and realignments in 2005. The Commission forwarded its report and recommendations to the President on September 8, 2005. On September 15, 2005, the President approved the Commission's recommendations and forwarded its report to Congress. Since Congress did not enact a joint resolution of disapproval within the review period provided by the BRAC Act, on November 9, 2005, the Department became legally obligated to close and realign all installations recommended for closure and realignment in the Commission's report. In accordance with the BRAC Act, the Department completed actions to implement the recommendations.

This report addresses reporting requirements specified in Section 2907 of the BRAC Act, as amended by Section 2831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (P.L. 109-163) and Section 2711 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (P.L. 110-417).

As was the case in prior BRAC rounds and in prior reports for this round the annual budget justification material submitted separately by the Comptroller was developed to satisfy the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2) of Section 2907 of the BRAC Act, specifically the FY 2014 material submitted by the DoD Components that provided detailed information by realignment and closure package, broken out by one-time implementation costs, anticipated revenues from land sales, and expected savings.

Section 2831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 amended section 2907 by adding new reporting requirements. The amended section 2907 is provided in Appendix 3. This report provides the information required by paragraphs three through seven. Specifically, this report provides:

- a description of the closure actions carried out at each BRAC 2005 installation and the current status of the closure of the installation, including whether—
 - a redevelopment authority has been recognized by the Secretary of Defense for the installation;
 - the screening of property at the installation for other Federal use has been completed; and
 - a redevelopment plan has been agreed to by the redevelopment authority for the installation;
- a description of redevelopment plans for each BRAC 2005 installation;
- the quantity of property remaining to be disposed of at each BRAC 2005 installation as part of its closure;
- the quantity of property already disposed of at each BRAC 2005 installation;

- a list of the Federal agencies that have requested property during the screening process for each BRAC 2005 installation, including the date of transfer or anticipated transfer of the property to such agencies, the acreage involved in such transfers, and an explanation for any delays in such transfers;
- a list of known environmental remediation issues at each BRAC 2005 installation, including the acreage affected by these issues, an estimate of the cost-tocomplete such environmental remediation, and the plans (and timelines) to address such environmental remediation; and
- the date of completion of all closure actions at each BRAC 2005 installation.

As stated in the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installation and Environment)'s (Acting) congressional testimony, BRAC 2005 was by far the largest round undertaken by the Department. The BRAC Commission made 222 recommendations, resulting in 24 major closures, 24 major realignments and 765 lesser actions. These actions affected some 125,000 military personnel at more than 800 locations across the United States. The cost of implementation totaled \$35.1 billion, including \$24.5 billion for military construction and another \$10.6 billion to move personnel and equipment, outfit facilities and carry out environmental clean-up. Although the implementation cost far exceeded that of any prior round, so too do the savings. Of all the efficiency measures that the Department has undertaken over the years, BRAC is perhaps the most successful and significant. BRAC 2005 is producing a total of about \$4 billion in annual recurring savings. This amount represents the additional costs that the Department would incur every year for base operating support, personnel and leasing costs had we not had BRAC.

Although elimination of excess capacity and dollar savings was an objective, the focus of the 2005 BRAC round was on aligning our infrastructure with our military strategy so as to maximize war fighting capacity and efficiency. BRAC 2005 enabled the Department to reset its infrastructure to accommodate the return of forces from Europe and Korea; restructure its medical platforms; markedly increase joint basing and other cross-Service efforts; accommodate the Army's modularization; and revitalize the Army's reserve and guard infrastructure. These efforts were needed, and they have contributed significantly to the Department's effectiveness. However, they necessarily required substantial investments.

The large number of transformational recommendations, particularly recommendations to establish joint operations, present significant implementation challenges. To meet these challenges, the Department developed Business Plans that laid out the requisite actions, timing of those actions, and the costs and savings associated with implementing each recommendation.

The Department has also completed screening for property transfer to other federal agencies, recognized Local Redevelopment Authorities (LRAs), and worked with communities impacted by both closure and growth. Most data reported for each

installation data contained in this report is as of December 1, 2012. Environmental remediation cost-to-complete data is consistent with the FY14 budget submission to Congress.

Report Organization

Installations impacted by BRAC 2005 recommendations (including leased installations) are provided by Military Department by state, and Defense Agency. For each closing installation the report provides individual installation summaries consisting of:

- The BRAC Commission number and title for all recommendations affecting the installation.
- The date when the DoD completed the BRAC recommendation.
- Whether a LRA has been recognized by the Secretary of Defense. A LRA may be recognized to prepare a redevelopment plan when there is surplus property to be disposed (32 CFR Part 174).
- Whether the screening of property at the installation for other federal agency use has been completed.
- Whether a redevelopment plan has been agreed to by the redevelopment authority. The deadline for submittal of a redevelopment plan by a LRA may be extended through the Director of the Office of Economic Adjustment (32 CFR Part 176).
- If a redevelopment plan does exist, its description. For this section there are three types of descriptions:
 - o A description of the redevelopment plan.
 - No Surplus Property. This indicates that because there is no surplus property available for disposal, no redevelopment plan is necessary. This response also includes installations that have property excess to DoD, but the property is slated for transfer to another federal agency, and is therefore, unavailable to the community. In addition, this description applies to leased locations and other types of property arrangements where the property is not owned by DoD and as such cannot be transferred by DoD.
 - Pending. The plan has not been finalized and, therefore, a description cannot be provided.
- The total quantity of property remaining to be disposed. This section reflects the government-owned acreage reported by the Military Departments that has been screened through a formal "Notice of Availability" under the General Services Administration-delegated disposal authorities across the components of the Department of Defense and determined as "excess" to the Department's needs. This data reflects what was reported by the Military Departments as of September 30, 2012. Where the "excess" determination has not been finalized, the acreage published in the component's "Notice of Availability" as of the date of the publication of the report is represented.

- The quantity of property already disposed. This is shown as "none" for most installations.
- A list of the federal agency(s) that have requested property during the screening process, including the date of anticipated transfer, the acreage involved, and an explanation of any delays to transfer. Federal agency(s) have expressed a request for property by either a Notice of Intent or a GSA Form 1334/DD 1354 application. A Notice of Intent request is not a binding agreement and subsequent reports will reflect only GSA Form 1334/DD 1354 application requests.
- The overall completion date for all closure actions at the installation. This is the last date of the last action necessary to complete the closure. This equates to the date on which all functions have transferred from the installation and activities cease except for caretaker actions. It does not include time necessary for environmental restoration or property disposal. Note: the Department's business plans tracked implementation at the recommendation level which often affects more than one installation. The plans contain an overall completion date. This date is the point in time in which all actions (e.g. personnel performing a function are moved, construction at receiving installations) in the business plan are completed.
- If an installation has environmental remediation issues, those are detailed at Appendices 1 and 2.

Environmental Remediation Issues and Cost-to-Complete

Appendix 1 provides the list of known environmental remediation issues at each installation closed by BRAC 2005 that are part of the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) as defined by DoD's 2012 DoD Manual 4715.20, DERP Management. The data presented for each installation includes the known environmental remediation issues to be addressed under the DERP, an estimate of the cost-to-complete for DERP eligible environmental restoration activities, the number of acres affected by remediation issues, the plans and timelines for addressing these remediation issues, the affected media, remedy types, and contaminant types identified for prioritization ranking purposes

Below is a Department-level summary of the estimated cost-to-complete for all known environmental remediation actions for the DERP sites at installations impacted by the 2005 BRAC round.

BRAC Category	Cost-to-Complete (\$ Millions)
BRAC Round 2005	331.9

The DERP cost-to-complete for closing installations is funded through the BRAC account. Cost-to-complete estimates are based on the best-available information at the time of their development and represent bottom-up, site level aggregations.

Additionally, the cost-to-complete estimates address all site-level DERP requirements at the entire installation.

Appendix 2 includes each type of remediation issue at each installation affected by the BRAC 2005 actions that are not included in the DERP, as defined by the 2012 DoD Manual 4715.20, DERP Management. For each issue Appendix 2 includes the type of remediation issue; its description; acreage affected; an estimate of the cost-to-complete; a description of the plans to address each issue; and timelines for addressing each remediation issue in terms of estimated start and completion dates. The types of "non-DERP" environmental remediation issues are categorized as follows:

- Operational Ranges
- Asbestos
- Landfill Closure
- Tank Closure
- Building Decontamination (DECON)
- Radiological Decontamination
- Permits to Site Closeouts
- Notice of Violation
- Other

Definitions for each of these categories are located in Appendix 2.

Volume 1 Table of Contents

State	Installation Name	Page
Army		21
Alabama		23
	Abbott USARC, Tuskegee	25
	AMSA Tuscaloosa	26
	Anderson USARC, Troy	27
	Screws USARC Montgomery	28
	Faith Wing USARC, Anniston	29
	Finnell AFRC, Tuscaloosa	30
	Flowers USARC, Decatur	30
	Gary USARC, Enterprise	31
	Wright USARC	33
Arkansas	•	35
	ARNG RC Jonesboro	37
	Stone USARC, Pine Bluff	38
	El Dorado USARC	39
	USAR ECS-15, Barling	40
	USARC AMSA, Malvern	41
	USARC Arkadelphia	42
	USARC CAMDEN	43
	USARC Hot Springs	44
	USARC Pond	45
Arizona		47
	Allen Hall AFRC, Tucson	49
	Deer Valley USAR No. 2, Phoenix	50
	Ft Huachuca, USAR AMS	51
California		53
	Desiderio USARC Pasadena	55
	Jones Hall USARC Mountain View	56
	Hazard Park USARC, Los Angeles	57
	Richey USARC, San Jose	58
	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	59
	Schroeder Hall USARC, Long Beach	60
	USARC Moffett Field	61
Connecticut		63
	OMS Middletown	65
	OMS New Haven	66
	SUTCOVOY USARC WATERBURY	67
	SGT Libby USARC, New Haven	68
	USAR AMSA Windsor Locks	69
	USAR CAMSA #69, Milford	70
	USARC Danbury	71
	USARC Fairfield	72
	USARC Middletown	73
District of Columbia		75
	Walter Reed Medical Center	77
Delaware		79

	Kirkwood LICARC Nowark	81
	Kirkwood USARC, Newark USARC / OMS Newark	82
Coorgio	USARC / OIVIS Newark	83
Georgia	Army AAEES Atlanta Distribution Contor	84
	Army-AAFES Atlanta Distribution Center Ft Gillem	86
	Ft McPherson	87
	USARC Columbus	88
Hawaii	OSANG Coldinates	89
Hawaii	Kunieda USARC, Hilo	91
lowa	Ruffleda OOARO, Fillo	93
IOWa	ARNG RC Cedar Rapids	95
	Battalion HQ Recruiting Battalion	96
	MEPS Des Moines	97
	USARC / AMSA Middletown	98
	USARC Muscatine	99
Illinois	OSAINO Muscatine	101
IIIII OIG	Copple USARC	103
	Wilson USARC	104
	USARC Fairfield	105
	Waukegan AFRC	106
Indiana	waukegan Ar NO	107
maiana	Lafayette USARC	109
	Newport Depot	110
Kansas	помрон Ворос	111
Turious	Kansas Army Ammunition Plans	113
Kentucky	randa 7 mmy 7 mmandon 1 mmo	115
nonuon,	Louisville USARC	117
	USARC Maysville	118
	USARC Paducah	119
	USARC Richmond	120
	USARC No. 2, Paducah	121
Louisiana	50/11/5 / 16/ 2, / addbai/	123
	Roberts USARC, Baton Rouge	125
	USARC Bossier City	126
	USARC Shreveport	127
Massachusetts		129
	MacArthur USARC, Springfield	131
	USAR ECS 65, Ayers	132
	Westover AFRC, Chicopee	133
Maryland		135
	NGA Dalecarlia Site, Bethesda	137
	PFC Flair AFRC / OMS Frederick	138
	NGA Sumner Site, Bethesda	139
Michigan		141
	George Dolliver USARC/AMSA 135	143
	Parisian USARC, Lansing	144
Minnesota	, and the second	145
	USARC Cambridge	147
	USARC Faribault	148
Missouri		149
	USARC Greentop	151

	USARC Jefferson Barracks	152
Mississippi		153
	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	155
	USARC Vicksburg	156
Montana		157
	AMSA No. 75 Missoula	159
	Veuve Hall USARC, Missoula	160
	Galt Hall USARC, Great Falls	161
North Carolina		163
norm ouronna	Rhodes AFRC, Wilmington	165
	Niven USARC, Albermarle	166
North Dakota	ruren der uter, rubennane	167
Troitii Banota	Johnson USARC, Fargo	169
Nebraska	oomioon coruce, raigo	171
Hobrasia	USARC Columbus	173
	USARC Hastings	174
	USARC Kearney	176
	USARC McCook	177
	USARC Wymore	178
New Hampshire	Oorato vvynioio	178 179
New Hampshile	ARNG RC Portsmouth	181
New Jersey	ANNO NO FORSINGUIT	183
14cw Jersey	Brittin USARC Camden	185
	Camp Kilmer	186
	Ft Monmouth	187
New Mexico	i t ivioriirioutii	189
INEM INICATO		
	Jankins AFRC Albuquarqua	101
New York	Jenkins AFRC Albuquerque	191 1 03
New York		193
New York	AFRC Amityville	193 195
New York	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale	193 195 196
New York	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie	193 195 196 197
New York	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway	193 195 196 197 198
New York	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica	193 195 196 197 198 199
New York	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls	193 195 196 197 198 199 200
New York	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201
New York	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202
	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203
New York Ohio	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205
	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205
	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208
	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209
	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield USARC Springfield	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209 210
Ohio	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209 210 211
	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield USARC Springfield USARC Whitehall	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209 210 211 213
Ohio	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield USARC Springfield USARC Whitehall Ashworth USARC Muskogee	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209 210 211 213 215
Ohio	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield USARC Springfield USARC Whitehall Ashworth USARC Muskogee Burris USARC, Chickasha	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209 210 211 213 215 216
Ohio	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield USARC Springfield USARC Whitehall Ashworth USARC Muskogee Burris USARC, Chickasha Farr USARC, Antlers	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209 210 211 213 215 216 217
Ohio	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield USARC Springfield USARC Whitehall Ashworth USARC Muskogee Burris USARC, Chickasha Farr USARC, Antlers Floyd Parker USARC, McAlester	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209 210 211 213 215 216 217 218
Ohio	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield USARC Springfield USARC Whitehall Ashworth USARC Muskogee Burris USARC, Chickasha Farr USARC, Antlers Floyd Parker USARC, McAlester Ft Sill 1st USARC	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209 210 211 213 215 216 217 218 219
Ohio	AFRC Amityville Roosevelt USARC Uniondale Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway McDonald USARC, Jamaica USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls Muller USARC Bronx USARC Stewart-Newburgh USARC Ft Hamilton Ft Hayes USARC Columbus Parrott USARC Kenton Scouten USARC, Mansfield USARC Springfield USARC Whitehall Ashworth USARC Muskogee Burris USARC, Chickasha Farr USARC, Antlers Floyd Parker USARC, McAlester	193 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 205 207 208 209 210 211 213 215 216 217 218

	Ft Sill 6 th USARC Ft Sill, ECS	222 223
	Gary USARC Enterprize	224
	Keathley USARC, Lawton	225
	Krowse USARC, Oklahoma City	226
	Perez USARC, Oklahoma City	227
	Robbins USARC, Enid	228
	Roush USARC, Clinton	229
	Smalley USARC, Norman	230
	Twaddle USAR, Oklahoma City	231
Overen	USARC Broken Arrow	232
Oregon	Soare LISABC Portland	233 235
	Sears USARC, Portland Sharff USARC, Portland	236
	Umatillia Depot	237
Pennsylvania	отпашна рерог	237
i emisyivama	Musselman USARC, Norristown	241
	AFRC Philadelphia	242
	Charles Kelly Support Center	243
	Germantown USARC, Philadelphia	244
	Horsham Memorial USARC	245
	North Penn USARC, Norristown	246
	Serrenti USARC, Scranton	247
	USAR OMS Bethlehem	248
	USAR OMS Bloomsburg	249
	USARC OMS Chester	250
	USAR OMS Philadelphia	251
	USAR OMS Scranton	252
	USAR OMS Wilkes-Barre	253
	USAR OMS Williamsport	254
	USARC Bloomsburg	255
	USARC Lewisburg	256
	USARC Wilkes-Barre	257
	USARC Williamsport	258
	Reese USARC	259
	Wilson-Kramer USARC	260
Puerto Rico		261
	Lavergne USARC, Bayamon	263
Rhode Island		265
	Harwood USARC Providence	267
	Cooper USARC	268
	USAR OMS Warwick	269
	USARC Bristol	270
South Carolina	AEDO De ele LEII	271
Tananasa	AFRC Rock Hill	273
Tennessee	A EDO Win you and	275
	AFRC Kingsport	277
	Guerry USARC, Chattanooga	278
	USAR AMSA Kingsport	279
	USAR OMS Kingsport	280
	Bonney Oaks USARC, Chattanooga	281

	USARC Clarksville	282
	USARC Kingsport	283
Texas	<u> </u>	285
	Benavidez USARC, El Paso	287
	Callaghan Road USARC	288
	Grimes USARC, Abilene	289
	Hanby-Hayden USARC, Mesquite	290
	Herzog USARC, Dallas	291
	Lone Star AAP	292
	Miller USARC, Huntsville	293
	Muchert USARC, Dallas	294
	Rathjen USARC, Brownsville	295
	Segura USARC	296
	Tharp USARC	297
	USAR ECS McGregor Range	298
	USARC No. 2 Perimeter Park	299
	USARC No. 3 Houston	300
	USARC Alice	301
	USARC Boswell	302
	USARC Ft Bliss	303
	USARC Lufkin	304
	USARC Marshall	305
	USARC NAS Kingsville	306
	USARC Pasadena	307
	USARC Round Rock	308
	USARC San Marcos	309
	USARC Tyler	310
	USARC Wichita Falls	311
116	Watts-Guillot USARC	312
Utah	December Dement	313
V!!!	Deseret Depot	315
Virginia	Et Manue	317
Vormont	Ft Monroe	319
Vermont	Courselle Brethers HCABC Butlend	321
	Courcelle Brothers USARC, Rutland USARC Chester	323
		324
	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester	325 326
	USARC Berlin	327
Washington	USANG Beriiri	327 329
washington	Ft Lawton	331
	Mann Hall USARC, Spokane	332
	Oswald USARC, Everett	333
	USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane	334
	Vancouver Barracks	335
	Wagenaar USARC, Pasco	336
	Walker USARC, Spokane	337
Wisconsin	vvalikoi OOAIKO, Opokalie	339
Widoutiditi	O'Connell USARC, Madison	341
	Olson USARC, Madison	342
West Virginia	Sister Cornico, madicon	343

	Colburn USARC/OMS, Fairmont	345
	Bias USARC, Huntington	346
	Elkins USARC/OMS, Beverly	347
	Kuhl USARC/OMS, Ripley	348
Navy/Marine Corps		349
Alabama		351
	NMCRC Mobile	353
	NRC Tuscaloosa	354
	NRD HQ Montgomery	355
California		357
	Navy Broadway Complex	359
	NMCRC Encino	360
	NMCRC Los Angeles	361
Florida		363
	NRC St Petersburg	365
Georgia		367
	Inspector-Instructor Rome	369
	NAS Atlanta	370
	NSCS Athens	371
lowa		373
	NRC Cedar Rapids	375
	NRC Dubuque	376
	NRC Sioux City	377
Idaho		379
	NRC Pocatello	381
Illinois	NDO 5 (D. I	383
	NRC Forest Park	385
Indiana	NIMODO O : ADD D	387
	NMCRC Grissom ARB, Peru	389
	NRC Evansville	390
Marstrola.	NRD HQ Indianapolis	391
Kentucky	NDC Lavington	393
Lauisiana	NRC Lexington	395
Louisiana	NMCDC Poton Pougo	397
Manuland	NMCRC Baton Rouge	399
Maryland	21491 Great Mills Road, Lexington Park	401 403
	21535 Pacific Drive Lexington Park	403 404
	NRC Adelphi	405
Maine	NINO Adelphii	407
Widilic	NAS Brunswick, ME	409
Michigan	TVAC Branowick, IVIE	411
Mioringan	NRF Marquette	413
Minnesota	THE MAINE CONTRACTOR OF THE CO	415
	NRC Duluth	417
Missouri		419
	MCSA Kansas City	421
	NRC Cape Girardeau	422
	NRD HQ Kansas City	423
Mississippi		425
	NS Pascagoula	427
		· - ·

North Carolina		429
Troitin Garonna	NRC Asheville	431
Nebraska		433
110014014	NRC Lincoln	435
	NRD HQ Omaha	436
New Jersey		437
	Inspector-Instructor West Trenton	439
New York		441
1011	NRC Glens Falls	443
	NRC Horseheads	444
	NRC Watertown	445
	NRD HQ Buffalo	446
Ohio	Title Fig Buildie	447
	NMCRC Akron	449
	NRC Cleveland	450
Oklahoma	Titto diovolaria	451
aiioiiia	NMCRC Tulsa	453
Oregon		455
	NRC Central Point	457
Pennsylvania	Titto Comman Comm	459
i omioyivama	ENGFLDACT NORTHEAST Lester	461
	NAS JRB Willow Grove	462
	NAVCRANECEN Lester	463
	NMCRC Reading	464
South Carolina	g	465
	SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM Charleston	467
Texas		469
	NRC Lubbock	471
	NRC Orange	472
	NS Ingleside	473
Virginia		475
•	Crystal Park 3, Arlington	477
	Crystal Square 3, Arlington	478
Washington	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	479
	NMCRC Tacoma	481
Wisconsin		483
	NMCRC Madison	485
	NRC La Crosse	486
West Virginia		487
	NMCRC Moundsville	489
Air Force		491
Alaska		493
	Galena Airport FOL	495
	Kulis AGS	496
Arizona		497
	AFRL Mesa City	499
California		501
	Onizuka AFS	503
Texas		505
	Brooks City-Base	507
Virginia	Brooks Oily Bass	509

	1501 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington	511
	·	512
	1560 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington	
	1919 South Eads Street, Arlington	513 514
Missonin	Arlington Plaza, Arlington	514
Wisconsin	One Mitaball IAD ADO	515
	Gen Mitchell IAP ARS	517
Defense Agencies		519
Defense Contract Management Ag	•	521
	Metro Park 3, 6350 Walker Lane, Alexandria	523
	Metro Park 4, 6359 Walker Lane, Alexandria	524
Defense Commissary Agency (DEC		525
	300 AFCOMS Way, San Antonio	527
	5151 Bonney Road, Virginia Beach	528
	5258 Oaklawn Boulevard, Hopewell	529
Defense Finance and Accounting S		531
	DFAS – Oakland	533
	DFAS – San Bernadino	535
	DFAS – San Diego	537
	DFAS – Seaside	538
	DFAS - Denver, CO	540
	DFAS – NAS Pensacola	541
	DFAS - Orlando	542
	DFAS - Pensacola Saufley Field	543
	DFAS - NS Pearl Harbor, Ford Island	544
	DFAS – Rock Island Arsenal	545
	DFAS – Lexington	546
	DFAS – NAS Patuxent River	547
	DFAS – Kansas City	548
	DFAS – St. Louis	549
	Offutt AFB – DFAS site	550
	DFAS – Dayton	551
	DFAS – Ft Sill	552
	DFAS – Charleston	553
	DFAS – Charleston DFAS – San Antonio	554
Defence Intelligence Agency (DIA)	DFAS – NS Norfolk	556 557
Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA)	4004 Coulth Dall Ctroot Arlington	557
	1801 South Bell Street, Arlington	559 560
Defence Information Cystems Age	1919 South Eads Street, Arlington	560
Defense Information Systems Age		561
	1010 Gause Boulevard	563
	5600 Columbia Pike, Falls Church	564
	Logicon Building, Arlington	565
	Skyline Place (Skyline 7), Falls Church	566
Defense Media Activity (DMA)	OOA North Frinter Of All 11	567
D D 0(1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	601 North Fairfax St, Alexandria	569
DoD Office of Hearings and Appea	•	571
	21820 Burbank Boulevard, Woodland Hills	573
Defense Security Service (DSS)		575
	938 Elkridge Landing Road, Linthicum	577
	1340 Braddock Place Alexandria – DSS	578
Missile Defense Agency (MDA)		579

	Suffolk Building, Falls Church – MDA	580
National Geospatial-Intelligence A	Agency (NGA)	581
	Bldg 213 South East Federal Center Washington	583
	8510 Newington Building, Newington	584
	8520 Newington Building, Newington	585
	8530 Newington Building, Newington	586
	Reston 1	587
	Reston 2	588
	Reston 3	589
Washington Headquarters Service	e (WHS)	591
Washington Headquarters Service	e (WHS) 1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington	591 593
Washington Headquarters Service	•	
Washington Headquarters Service	1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington	593
Washington Headquarters Service	1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington 1500 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington	593 594
Washington Headquarters Service	1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington 1500 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1515 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington	593 594 595
Washington Headquarters Service	1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington 1500 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1515 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1600 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington	593 594 595 596
Washington Headquarters Service	1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington 1500 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1515 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1600 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1901 North Beauregard, Arlington	593 594 595 596 597
Washington Headquarters Service	1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington 1500 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1515 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1600 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1901 North Beauregard, Arlington 4850 Mark Center Drive, Arlington	593 594 595 596 597 598

Army

Alabama

Abbott USARC Tuskegee, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to NPS for Parks and Recreation - no homeless expressions of interest

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.69

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 1 2011

AMSA Tuscaloosa, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

See Finnell AFRC, Tuscaloosa, AL

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Anderson USARC, Troy, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/31/2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2006

BG William P. Screws U.S. Army Reserve Center Montgomery, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOI for Recreation Park pending approval. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.8

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 1 2011

Faith Wing USARC Anniston, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

2.17

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland Security -	Sep 20 2011	2.17
(FEMA)		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Finnel AFRC , Tuscaloosa, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 5/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOI for park with no homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.01

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 15 2011

Flowers USARC, Decatur, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 6/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 1 2011

Gary USARC, Enterprise, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/30/2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

Wright USARC, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Arkansas

ARNG RC Jonesboro, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 7/25/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ awaiting approval - no homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.45

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 25 2011

Stone USARC, Pine Bluff, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/2/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 2 2011

El Dorado USARC, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/9/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public Sale - no homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

2.8

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 9 2011

USAR ECS-15, Barling, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/18/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

39.32

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
DOE	Apr 5 2011	39.32

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 18 2011

USARC AMSA, Malvern, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/1/2011

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 1 2011

USARC Arkadelphia, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/9/2011

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 9 2011

USARC CAMDEN, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/6/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOT for use by the Camden airport commission - no homeless expression of interest

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

1.9

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 6 2011

USARC Hot Springs, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/2/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 2 2011

USARC Pond, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/31/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

VA is in discussion with Army to acquire property. Completion of redevelopment plan is awaiting that decision.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.5

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Dec 31 2012	3.5

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

No

Arizona

Allen Hall AFRC, Tucson, AZ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
12	Reserve Component Transformation in Arizona

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/2/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ for law enforcement use - no homeless expression of interest

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.56

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 2 2011

Deer Valley USAR No. 2, Phoenix, AZ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
12	Reserve Component Transformation in Arizona

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 12/15/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 15 2010

Ft Huachuca, USAR AMS, AZ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
12	Reserve Component Transformation in Arizona

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

California

Desiderio USARC, Pasadena, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 12/15/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOI for NPS park with homelss expression of interest to Habitat for Humanity.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

7.77

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 15 2010

Jones Hall USARC Mountain View, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 10/23/2012.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

7.06

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Oct 23 2012	7.06

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 25 2011

Hazard Park USARC, Los Angeles, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 2/26/2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 26 2006

Richey USARC, San Jose, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ for Law Enforcement and PBC to FEMA for Emergency Services. The homeless interest was relocated to an alternative county owned property.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 8.6

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 15 2011

Riverbank AAP, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
151	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, CA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/31/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Mixed use, primarily light industrial and business park, with a focus on green industry and retention of existing ARMS tenants.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 172.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2010

SCHROEDER HALL USARC, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 12/15/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The redevelopment plan was completed in December, 2007. The plan proposes to use the property for a police substation, and will accommodate homeless needs off-site.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.5

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 15 2010

USARC Moffett Field, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/25/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 25 2011

Connecticut

OMS Middletown, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

OMS New Haven, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

0.0

PAUL J. SUTCOVOY USARC WATERBURY, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Not subject to BRAC statutory closure requirements due to 2010 NDAA Section 2711.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Joint police and fire training facility

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.3

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

SGT Libby USARC, New Haven, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ for Police Training and Public Safety Complex. The only homeless expression of interest was withdrawn.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.75

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

USAR AMSA Windsor Locks, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
52	USAR Command and Control New England

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 5/13/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 13 2011

USAR CAMSA #69, Milford, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to FEMA for Town Public Health Department and Emergency Management Vehicle Storage. PBC to HUD for the Beth-El Center.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.6

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

USARC Danbury, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Not subject to BRAC statutory closure requirements due to 2010 NDAA Section 2711.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

USARC FAIRFIELD, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Not subject to BRAC statutory closure requirements due to 2010 NDAA Section 2711.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Recreation.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.1

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

USARC Middletown, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 6/30/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to FEMA for Fire Training School, Fire Station, and Emergency Operations Center. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

23.72

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2010

District of Columbia

WALTER REED MEDICAL CENTER, DC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
169	Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, MD

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/14/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The Redevelopment Plan calls for mixed use with residential, retail, education and complementary public uses. Specific uses that honor the legacy of innovation and care at Walter Reed and that retain its historic nature have been selected.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 113.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of State	Sep 14 2011	79.0
General Services Administration	Sep 14 2011	34.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Protracted process to adjudicate competing federals agency interests and boundaries; Dept of State has raised issues with paying fair market value for the property.

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

0.0

Delaware

Kirkwood USARC, Newark, DE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
16	Reserve Component Transformation in Delaware

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/26/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd for Deleware State University - no homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 9.13

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 26 2011

USARC / OMS Newark, DE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
16	Reserve Component Transformation in Delaware

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/26/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 26 2011

Georgia

Army-AAFES Atlanta Distribution Center, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
2	Fort Gillem, Georgia

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/31/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2011

Ft Gillem, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
2	Fort Gillem, Georgia
62	Naval Air Station Atlanta, GA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Warehousing, distribution, manufacturing with some mixed use residential, commercial, light commercial, institutional use and a business park.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

1187.22

Quantity of property already disposed:

1.78

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Ft McPherson, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
3	Fort McPherson, GA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The 488-acre development will contain 3.5 million square feet of office, lab space and 1,617 units of residential a campus-style environment. 5.7 acres were transferred to the Veterans Administration.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 475.62

Quantity of property already disposed: 11.38

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Oct 1 2011	7.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

U.S. Army Reserve Center Columbus, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
17	Reserve Component Transformation in Georgia

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd for Columbus State University for a Regional Police Academy - no homeless expression of interest

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

2.2

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Hawaii

Kunieda USARC, Hilo, HI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
18	Reserve Component Transformation in Hawaii

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/31/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

Iowa

ARNG RC Cedar Rapids, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd for Mount Mercy University. No homeless expression of interest

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 6.18

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Battalion HQ Recruiting Battalion, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 12/8/2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 8 2008

MEPS Des Moines, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 12/8/2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 8 2008

USARC / AMSA Middletown, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

USARC Muscatine, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 6/10/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 10 2011

Illinois

COPPLE USARC, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
19	Reserve Component Transformation in Illinois

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/29/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public health PBC

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.32

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 29 2011

PFC R.G. WILSON USARC, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
19	Reserve Component Transformation in Illinois

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 10/10/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ for Law enforcement uses. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.82

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Oct 10 2010

USARC Fairfield, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
19	Reserve Component Transformation in Illinois

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/15/2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.09

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2008

WAUKEGAN AFRC, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
19	Reserve Component Transformation in Illinois

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 7/30/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBJ to DOJ for law enforcement and PBC to DoEd for education. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.39

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 30 2010

Indiana

Lafayette USARC, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
20	Reserve Component Transformation in Indiana

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 1/3/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Newport Depot, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
154	Newport Chemical Depot, IN

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 7/18/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Industrial, commercial, agricultural/forestry and conversation.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.9

Quantity of property already disposed: 7235.8

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland Security - (Coast Guard)	Oct 3 2006	102.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Kansas

Kansas Army Ammunition Plant, KS

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
155	Kansas Army Ammo Plant, KS

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 12/31/2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

A range of uses includes energetics, munitions storage, industrial and manufacturing, transportation, warehousing, energy park, conservation, agriculture, office/business park, public education, training, and housing

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4121.93

Quantity of property already disposed: 9829.37

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Kentucky

Louisville USARC, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
55	USAR Command and Control Southeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to FEMA for Kentucky Department of Military Affairs - no homeless statement of interest

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

4.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Maysville, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
22	Reserve Component Transformation in Kentucky

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/30/2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Paducah, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
22	Reserve Component Transformation in Kentucky

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/30/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Parks and recreation uses at Paducah Memorial ARC.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 3.62

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Richmond, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
22	Reserve Component Transformation in Kentucky

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/30/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC#2, Paducah, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
22	Reserve Component Transformation in Kentucky

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/30/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Homeless assistance services at Paducah ARC #2

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 2.72

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Louisiana

Roberts USARC, Baton Rouge, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
23	Reserve Component Transformation in Louisanna

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/2/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Bossier City, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
23	Reserve Component Transformation in Louisanna

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Shreveport, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
23	Reserve Component Transformation in Louisanna

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/31/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Massachusetts

MacArthur USARC, Springfield, MA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
52	USAR Command and Control New England

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ for Police Training and Administration Facility. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR ECS 65, Ayers, MA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
25	Reserve Component Transformation in Massachusetts	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Westover AFRC, Chicopee, MA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
52	USAR Command and Control New England

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Maryland

NGA Dalecarlia Site, Bethesda, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/14/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

PFC Flair AFRC/OMS Frederick, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
24	Reserve Component Transformation in Maryland

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/20/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

SUMNER SITE (NGA), MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/14/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 39.26

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Director of National	Sep 15 2011	39.0
Intelligence		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 2

Michigan

GEORGE DOLLIVER USARC/AMSA 135, MI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
26	Reserve Component Transformation in Michigan

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/17/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.89

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Parisan USARC, Lansing, MI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
26	Reserve Component Transformation in Michigan	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Minnesota

USARC Cambridge, MN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
27	Reserve Component Transformation in Minnesota

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/24/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.12

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 24 2009

USARC Faribault, MN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
27	Reserve Component Transformation in Minnesota

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/25/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement use by Rice County, MN.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.5

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 25 2010

Missouri

USARC Greentop, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
28	Reserve Component Transformation in Missouri

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 1 2011

USARC Jefferson Barracks, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
28	Reserve Component Transformation in Missouri

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 1/7/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 7 2011

Mississippi

Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, MS

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
157	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, MS

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 7/30/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 4214.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 30 2009

USARC Vicksburg, MS

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/1/2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 1 2007

Montana

AMSA No. 75 Missoula, MT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
29	Reserve Component Transformation in Montana

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 1/31/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 31 2011

ERNEST VEUVE HALL USARC/AMSA 75, MT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
29	Reserve Component Transformation in Montana

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 1/31/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community and state declined LRA recognition

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

21.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 31 2011

Galt Hall USARC, Great Falls, MT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
29	Reserve Component Transformation in Montana

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

North Carolina

ADRIAN B. RHODES AFRC, NC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
35	Reserve Component Transformation in North Carolina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Permanent supportive homeless housing

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.26

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

JESSE F. NIVEN JR., USARC, NC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
35	Reserve Component Transformation in North Carolina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/30/2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Centralization of operations for the city's park and recreation department for administration, recreation programming and parks facility maintenance operations. The plan also includes a homeless use (office and storage space).

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

4.44

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

North Dakota

Johnson USARC, Fargo, ND

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
36	Reserve Component Transformation in North Dakota

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Nebraska

USARC Columbus, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/18/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 18 2011

USARC Hastings, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 6/22/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 22 2009

USARC Kearney, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 10/5/2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Oct 5 2008

USARC McCook, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/17/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 17 2011

USARC Wymore, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/30/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 30 2011

New Hampshire

ARNG RC Portsmouth, NH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
31	Reserve Component Transformation in New Hampshire

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Not subject to BRAC statutory closure requirements due to 2010 NDAA Section 2712.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Recreation

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.38

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

New Jersey

Brittin USARC Camden, NJ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
32	Reserve Component Transformation in New Jersey

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to FEMA for Public Works Department - homeless accomodation for two providers

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.7

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Camp Kilmer, NJ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 10/16/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to NPS for Park on two parcels. PBC to DoEd for pre-school on one parcel. Negotiated Sale to edison Township Public Works of one parcel. Negotiated Sale of one parcel to HUD for Triple C Homeless Providers.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 19.3

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.7

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Oct 16 2009

Ft Monmouth, NJ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
5	Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/9/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Overall plan incorporates three separate plans, one for Tinton Falls, Eatontown and Oceanport. The redevelopment plans calls for mixed use, residential, and commercial (emphasis on high technology and recreational).

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

1071.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

55.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 9 2011

New Mexico

Jenkins AFRC Albuquerque, NM

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
33	Reserve Component Tansformation in New Mexico

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/9/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 9 2011

New York

AFRC Amityville, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
34	Reserve Component Transformation in New York

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/13/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The LRA submitted the redevelopment plan on September 30, 2010. The final agreed upon use of the property is for recreation and homeless veteran use.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 6.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 9.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 13 2011

BG THEODORE ROOSEVELT JR. USARC, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
34	Reserve Component Transformation in New York

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

LRA does not have approved HUD acceptance of reuse plan and PBC sponsorship.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.3

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepie, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/1/2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public sale

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 1 2006

Fort Tilden USARC Far Rockaway, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

FTF to DOI for NPS.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 10.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

	Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Dep	partment of the Interior - (NPS)	Sep 1 2011	10.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

McDonald USARC, Jamaica, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/19/2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 19 2007

NIAGRA FALLS USARC/AMSA 76, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
34	Reserve Component Transformation in New York

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/8/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Mixed commercial and industrial usage.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 21.87

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 8 2011

SGT JOSEPH E. MULLER USARC, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The Final Muller ARC Redevelopment Plan was submitted to HUD on June 29th, 2011, specifying an on-site homeless reuse. HUD affirmed the sufficiency of that submission in a letter to NYC Mayor Michael Bloomberg on June 20th, 2011.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.9

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

STEWART NEWBURGH USARC, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number Title	
34	Reserve Component Transformation in New York

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/16/2010

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to FEMA for Dual use: Police Station/Academy and Emergency Management Vehicle Storage. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 17.5

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 16 2010

USARC Ft Hamilton, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/5/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 5 2011

Ohio

Ft Hayes USARC Columbus, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 2/7/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd for Fort Hayes Metropolitan Education Campus (FHMEC) with no homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

10.78

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 7 2011

Parrott USARC Kenton, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 12/1/2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Emergency management

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.12

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 1 2006

Scouten USARC, Mansfield, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to HUD for the Mary McLeod-Bethune Intervention and Enrichment Center.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

USARC Springfield, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/21/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Special Legislation to City for Emergency communications center and public safety training. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 21 2011

USARC Whitehall, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 10/28/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community recreation center

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Oct 28 2010

Oklahoma

Ashworth USARC Muskogee, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/21/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 21 2011

Burris USARC, Chickasha, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/11/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 11 2011

Farr USARC, Antlers, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 10/1/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Floyd Parker USARC, McAlester, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/3/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill 1st USARC, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill 3rd USARC, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill 5th USARC, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill 6th USARC, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill, ECS, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Gary USARC Enterprize, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 10/1/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Negotiated Sale. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.75

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Keathley USARC, Lawton, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/11/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Krowse USARC, Oklahoma City, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/31/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Perez USARC, Oklahoma City, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/14/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Robbins USARC, Enid, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/20/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Roush USARC, Clinton, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2011:

The Army completed the closure on 10/1/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Variety of city and public uses.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.75

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Smalley USARC, Norman, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 2/23/2011

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ for Law enforcement use by the City of Norman, OK. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

4.24

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Twaddle USAR, Oklahoma City, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
56	USAR Command and Control Southwest

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Broken Arrow, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/22/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Oregon

SGT JEROME SEARS HALL USARC PORTLAND, OR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
39	Reserve Component Transformation in Oregon

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/14/2011

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Emergency management? Emergency operations center.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.14

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Sharff USARC, Portland, OR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
39	Reserve Component Transformation in Oregon

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/14/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Oregon Army National Guard administrative facilities and firing range.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Umatilla Depot, OR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
160	Umatilla Chemical Depot, OR

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Not subject to BRAC statutory closure requirements per DoD General Counsel & OSD ATL message dtd 11 May 11.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

Mixed use (acres): Agriculture (655), Wildlife Refuge (5,613), Mititary Training (7,421), Highway Commercial Industrial (1,077), Interstate Corridor (91), Industrial Restricted (942), and Industrial Unrestricted (1,115)

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 19728.

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Pennsylvania

1LT RAY S. MUSSELMAN MEMORIAL USARC, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/21/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd for the Norristown Area School District. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.45

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

AFRC , Philadelphia, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/26/2011

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ for Law enforcement, police training & emergency management (backup 911/operations center). No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 9.61

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Charles Kelly Support Center, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/30/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Completed in July 2008 Reuse include recreation and housing for the Main Post and Site 62 and industrial production at the Neville Island site.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

25.6

Quantity of property already disposed:

120.81

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Transportation - (FAA)	Jan 1 2011	17.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

GERMANTOWN VETERANS MEMORIAL USARC, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.74

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

HORSHAM MEMORIAL USARC, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/30/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The Hatboro Horsham School District's revised education Public Benefit Conveyance (PBC) application requests the entire property for an Elementary School.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

North Penn U.S. Army Reserve Center, Norristown, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOI for Public park and recreation.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 19.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Serrenti USARC, Scranton, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

LRA changing reuse plan to public school.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 1.93

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Bethlehem, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army projects closure on 2/28/2013.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Delayed due to act of nature

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 28 2013

0.0

USAR OMS Bloomsburg, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/8/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public Sale

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Chester, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Philadelphia, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/26/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendix 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 2

USAR OMS Scranton, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Wilkes-Barre,, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to FEMA for Emergency Services and Law Enforcement. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

4.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Williamsport, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Bloomsburg, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/8/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public sale - no homeless expression of interest

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Lewisburg, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/8/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to FEMA for Emergency Management communications center with emergency vehicle maintenance and PBC to DOJ.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.2

Quantity of property already disposed: 2.8

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Wilkes Barre, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Williamsport, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd for Loyalsock Township School district and PBC to DOI for parks and recreation. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

6.59

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

W. Reese USARC / OMS, Chester, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to the City of Upland for a Community/youth center. No expression of homeless interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Wilson-Kramer USARC, Bethlehem, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Projected closure on 7/31/2012.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ for Police, fire and health training. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.5

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Delayed due to act of nature

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Puerto Rico

Lavergne USARC Bayamon, PR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
41	Reserve Component Transformation in Puerto Rico

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 7/15/2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Emergency Management Response Center

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 7.15

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Rhode Island

Harwood USARC, Providence, RI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
42	Reserve Component Transformation in Rhode Island

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 2.76

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Sep 1 2011	2.76

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Pvt Lloyd S. Cooper III USARC, RI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
42	Reserve Component Transformation in Rhode Island

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to NPS for Recreation use. Homeless accomodation is for personal property.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.2

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Warwick, RI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
42	Reserve Component Transformation in Rhode Island

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

0.0

USARC Bristol, RI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
42	Reserve Component Transformation in Rhode Island

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOI for Recreation and Park. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.3

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

South Carolina

AFRC Rock Hill, SC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
35	Reserve Component Transformation in North Carolina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/30/2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Tennessee

AFRC Kingsport, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 5/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Guery USARC, Chattanooga, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR AMSA Kingsport, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 5/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Kingsport, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 5/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC CHATTANOOGA, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd. Lease Termination. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.53

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Clarksville, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Kingsport, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 5/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Texas

Benavidez USARC, El Paso, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

CALLAGHAN ROAD USARC, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/7/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public sale - no homeless expression of interest

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Grimes USARC, Abilene, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd for Region XIV Education Services Center - no homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

9.25

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Hanby-Hayden USARC, Mesquite, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/31/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Herzog USAR, Dallas, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/31/2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.51

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Age	ncy Ant	icipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affa	airs	Dec 1 2009	5.51

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Lone Star AAP, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
162	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant, TX

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/30/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The redevelopment plan is focused on job creation via multi-modal warehouse/distribution, with rail access and foreign trade zone designation. LRA is responsible for implementing the redevelopment plan at both Red River AD and Lone Star AAP.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

1254.13

Quantity of property already disposed:

14291.87

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Miller USARC, Huntsville, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Muchert USARC Dallas, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOI for Recreation Park. The homeless expression of interest was withdrawn.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.19

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Rathjen USARC, Brownsville, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/9/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Segura USARC, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Tharp USARC, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community and state declined LRA recognition

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.13

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

0.0

USAR ECS McGregor Range, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC # 2 Perimeter Park, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOJ for USARC #2 - law enforcement PBC to FEMA for USARC #3 - Fire Department for training, warehousing, maintenance, and office space

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 6.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC # 3 Houston, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.54

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Alice, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 10/1/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Joint emergency management training center and emergency operations center.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 3.53

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Boswell, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 6/16/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public sale - no homeless expression of interest

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 8.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Oct 1 2009	8.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Ft Bliss, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Lufkin, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/26/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Marshall, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/3/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

LRA is reworking redevelopment plan. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.78

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC NAS Kingsville, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/8/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Pasadena, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 6/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Round Rock, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 7/19/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC San Marcos, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 7/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Tyler, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/24/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Wichita Falls, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/11/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DOI for a city park maintenance facility. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.82

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Watts-Guillot USARC, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/6/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd for Texarkana College. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Utah

Deseret Depot, UT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
163	Deseret Chemical Depot, UT	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Not subject to BRAC statutory closure requirements per DoD General Counsel & OSD ATL message dtd 11 May 11.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Virginia

Ft Monroe, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
8	Fort Monroe, Virginia

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/9/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Limited mixed use development, residential, recreation, historic culture and tourism. The National Park Service did not identify property during the federal screening process, however 123 acres are to be eventually transferred to the National Park Service by Presidential Proclamation under the Antiquities Act.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 284.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Vermont

Courcelle Brothers USARC, Rutland, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Recreation

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.45

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

U.S. Army Reserve Center Chester, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public Sale.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

3.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

USAR OMS Chester, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

USARC Berlin, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 4/1/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 1 2011

Washington

FT LAWTON USAR COMPLEX, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
54	USAR Command and Control Northwest

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/15/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Market rate residential; Homeless housing; Self-help housing by Habitat for Humanity; Open space and parks.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 43.16

Quantity of property already disposed: 7.75

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Jan 1 2010	8.13

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Mann Hall USARC, Spokane, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 7/29/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

Facility was vandalized and new redevelopment plan being developed. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.5

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 29 2011

Oswald USARC, Everett, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to HHS for Shelter and service center for victims of domestic violence and their children.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

2.95

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 7/29/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 29 2011

Vancouver Barracks, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
54	USAR Command and Control Northwest

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/14/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 52.79

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Wagenaar USARC, Pasco, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/31/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Negotiated Sale to the Port of Pasco Commission and PBC to the Port of Pasco. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2011

Walker USARC, Spokane, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 3/31/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community and state declined LRA recognition

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2011

10.0

Wisconsin

O'Connell USARC, Madison, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
48	Reserve Component Transformation in Wisconsin

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

TRUMAN O. OLSON USARC, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
48	Reserve Component Transformation in Wisconsin

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/12/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Negotiated sale between the Army and the City of Madison LRA. Retail, commercial, residential and extension of existing street. No homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 3.5

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

West Virginia

1LT HARRY B. COLBORN USARC, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
47	Reserve Component Transformation in West Virgina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/9/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to HHS for the Task Force on Domestic Violence, Hope, Inc.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.25

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 9 2011

Bias USARC, Huntington, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
47	Reserve Component Transformation in West Virgina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 12/4/2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Sep 1 2011	5.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 4 2009

Elkins USARC / OMS, Beverly, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
47	Reserve Component Transformation in West Virgina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 9/9/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBJ to DOJ for State police barracks with no homeless expression of interest.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.33

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 9 2011

Kuhl USARC / OMS, Ripley, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
47	Reserve Component Transformation in West Virgina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Army completed the closure on 8/14/2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC to DoEd for Jackson County Board of Education. The only homeless expression of interest withdrew its request.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 14 2011

Navy

Alabama

NMCRC Mobile, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in August 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 14 2010

0.0

NRC Tuscaloosa, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

NRD HQ Montgomery, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
74	Navy Recruiting Districts	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2006

California

Navy Broadway Complex, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
192	Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, CA	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

On November 22, 2006, the Navy entered into a long term lease that provides for the redevelopment of the Navy Broadway Complex under the authority granted by section 2732 of Public Law 99-661, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1987. Accordingly, the Commission recommendation to close the Broadway Complex if the Navy did not enter into such a lease on or before January 1, 2007, has no further effect. All action on this recommendation is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 22 2006

NMCRC Encino, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 6 2008

NMCRC Los Angeles, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in May 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

Jun 1 2010

Florida

NRC ST Petersburg, FL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in November 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 3.81

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland	Nov 15 2007	3.8
Security - (Coast Guard)		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 15 2007

Georgia

Inspector-Instructor Rome, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in March 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 23 2010

0.0

NAS Atlanta, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
62	Naval Air Station Atlanta, GA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the

0.0

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2011

NSCS Athens, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
63	Navy Supply Corps School Athens, GA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in March 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

University of Georgia is converting the entire Navy school into medical campus via a Department of Education PBC.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 56.15

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2011

Iowa

NRC Cedar Rapds, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

NRC Dubuque, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed in August 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 23 2007

NRC Sioux City, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in January 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 1 2007

Idaho

NRC Pocatello, ID

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON compelted closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

Illinois

NRC Forest Park, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in July 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 2 2007

0.0

Indiana

NMCRC Grissom ARB, Peru, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in January 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 5 2007

NRC Evansville, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

NRD HQ Indianapolis, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
74	Navy Recruiting Districts	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2006

Kentucky

NRC Lexington, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
76	Navy Reserve Centers	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

Louisiana

NMCRC Baton Rouge, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in July 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

0.0

Maryland

21491 Great Mills Road, Lexington Park, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
149	Relocate Miscellaneous Depart of the Navy Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in August 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

21535 Pacific Drive, Lexington Park, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
149	Relocate Miscellaneous Depart of the Navy Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2009

NRC Adelphi, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed the closure in December 2007. DD Form 1354 transferred land and improvements to Army for use by ARNG on 12/31/2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2007

Maine

Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
65	Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed the closure in May 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

The redevelopment plan includes airport, economic development/job creation, education, housing, open space and recreation uses.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 715.05

Quantity of property already disposed:

2640.33

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland Security - (Coast Guard)	Sep 28 2009	11.2
Department of Transportation - (FAA)	Sep 15 2011	10.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Michigan

NRF Marquette, MI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in March 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2007

Minnesota

NRC Duluth, MN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in March 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public Sale.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 1.52

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 14 2008

Missouri

MCSA Kansas City, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
64	Naval Support Activity New Orleans, LA
66	Marine Corps Support Activity Kansas City, MO

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Light industrial, education and recreation.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 27.44

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland Security - (FEMA)	TBD	0.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

NRC Cape Girardeau, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2007

NRD HQ Kansas City, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
74	Navy Recruiting Districts

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2006

Mississippi

NS Pascagoula, MS

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
67	Naval Station Pascagoula, MS

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

North Carolina

NRC Asheville, NC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

Nebraska

NRC Lincoln, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NRD HQ Omaha, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
74	Navy Recruiting Districts

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

New Jersey

Inspector-Instructor West Trenton, NJ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON complete closure in June 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Homeless use.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 8.24

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

New York

NRC Glens Falls, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NRC Horseheads, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NRC Watertown, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NRD HQ Buffalo, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
74	Navy Recruiting Districts

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed the closure in February 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ohio

NMCRC Akron, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed the closure in September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC (DoJ) for the public safety task force to consolidate several law enforcement training operations to a single site.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.43

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NRC Cleveland, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland	Dec 28 2007	0.75
Security - (Coast Guard)		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Oklahoma

NMCRC Tulsa, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement, public safety, and possibly other public uses and activities.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 11.4

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Oregon

NRC Central Point, OR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Pennsylvania

ENGFLDACT NORTHEAST Lester, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
72	Engineering Field Division/Activity

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NAS JRB Willow Grove, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number Title	
68	Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA and Cambria
Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Shenandoah Woods Housing site (51 acres), retain as residential but reduce density Jacksonville Road Housing site (2.5 acres), convert singles family houses to office space for homeless provider Main Base (862 acres), mixed use - town center

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

1091.86

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Transportation - (FAA)	Dec 31 2010	3.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

NAVCRANECEN Lester, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
72	Engineering Field Division/Activity	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NMCRC Reading, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

LRA Redevelopment Plan Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

South Carolina

SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM Charleston, SC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
72	Engineering Field Division/Activity	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Texas

NRC Lubbock, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in March 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2008

NRC Orange, TX, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Orange County Navigation and Port District industrial park

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 13.73

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2009

NS Ingleside, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
71	Naval Station Ingleside, TX and Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, TX

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in April 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The preferred reuse of the EMR is a Marine Business Park. The property is considered to be ideally suited for a multi-user business park that emphasizes, but not limited to, marine light industrial and service uses due to its water front access.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 155.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 30 2010

Virginia

Crystal Park 3, Arlington - Navy, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
149	Relocate Miscellaneous Depart of the Navy Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Business plan actions are being implemented. Majority of Navy personnel relocated by BRAC 149 will go to Arlington Service Center in coordination with DISA departure under BRAC 140. NAVFAC in conjunction with WHS and GSA are responsible for lease actions.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Crystal Square 3, Arlington - Navy, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
149	Relocate Miscellaneous Depart of the Navy Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Business plan actions are being implemented. Majority of Navy personnel relocated by BRAC 149 will go to Arlington Service Center in coordination with DISA departure under BRAC 140. NAVFAC in conjunction with WHS and GSA are responsible for lease actions.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Washington

NMCRC Tacoma, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Port-related development

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 8.99

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
BIA - Puyallup Tribe	TBD	0.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 17 2010

Wisconsin

NMCRC Madison, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

NRC La Crosse, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in December 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2007

West Virginia

NMCRC Moundsville, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DON completed closure in January 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 28 2011

0.0

Air Force

Alaska

Galena Airport FOL, AK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
195	Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Galena FOL closed September 23, 2008

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

This is a lease termination.

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2008

0.0

Kulis AGS, AK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
80	Kulis Air Guard Station AK, and Elmendorf AFB, AK

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Kulis AGS closed September 12, 2011. Lease termination was signed on 13 October 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Arizona

AFRL Mesa City, AZ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
187	Defense Research Service Led Laboratories

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

AFRL Mesa closed September 15, 2011. Property is leased to the Local Redevelopment Authority (City of Mesa). Property transfer planned as an Economic Development Conveyance (EDC) in second quarter FY14.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Specialized Research in High Technology

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 6.5

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Property transfer delay due to ongoing negotiation with LRA over Economic Development Agreement.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

California

Onizuka AFS, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
84	Onizuka AFS, CA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Onizuka AFS missions and associated equipment relocated to Vandenberg AFB are complete as of 30 September 2010. Onizuka AFS closed August 9, 2011

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community College, potential homeless housing development, expansion of fire station and a Veterans Affairs enclave

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

19.61

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Apr 17 2013	4.41

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 9 2011

Texas

Brooks City-Base, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
170	Brooks City Base, TX

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Brooks City Base closed September 15, 2011

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Virginia

1501 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington - Air Force, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
129	Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard
	Headquarters Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Washington Headquarters Service is responsible for facility closure actions at this location.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 2 2011

1560 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington - Air Force, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
129	Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard
	Headquarters Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Washington Headquarters Service is responsible for actual closure of this facility. The Air Force does not lease or occupy space at this location. No action required by the Air Force.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 15 2005

1919 South Eads Street, Arlington - Air Force, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
129	Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard
	Headquarters Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Washington Headquarters Service is responsible for facility closure actions at this location.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 2 2011

Arlington Plaza, Arlington - Air Force, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
129	Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard
	Headquarters Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Washington Headquarters Service is responsible for facility closure actions at this location.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 2 2011

Wisconsin

Gen Mitchell IAP ARS, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
97	Key Field Air Guard Station, MS
117	General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

General Mitchell ARS closed on February 2, 2008

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Airport Public Benefit Conveyance

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 102.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Transportation - (FAA)	Jul 26 2010	102.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 2 2008

Defense Agencies

Defense Contract Management Agecny (DCMA)

Metro Park 3, 6350 Walker Lane, Alexandria - DCMA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased	
	locations	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DCMA relocated to Ft. Lee and the space was returned to the Lessor. The WHS completed the closure 31 August 2012.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2012

Metro Park 4, 6359 Walker Lane, Alexandria - DCMA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
133	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DCMA relocated to Ft. Lee and the space was returned to the Lessor. The WHS completed the closure 31 August 2012.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2012

Defense Commissary Agency (DECA)

300 AFCOMS Way, San Antonio, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
139	Consolidate Defense Commisary Agency Eastern, Midwestern Regional, and	
	Hopewell, VA, Offices	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Business plan approved and closure action completed 30 Oct 06.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Oct 30 2006

5151 Bonney Road, Virginia Beach, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
139	Consolidate Defense Commisary Agency Eastern, Midwestern Regional, and	
	Hopewell, VA, Offices	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Business plan approved and closure action completed 3 Oct 06.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Oct 3 2006

5258 Oaklawn Boulevard, Hopewell, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
139	Consolidate Defense Commisary Agency Eastern, Midwestern Regional, and	
	Hopewell, VA, Offices	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Business Plan for additional wing on existing building approved. Construction of new wing started in Aug 2008, project is on schedule to be completed in Aug 2010 Construction and facility turned over to Hq DeCA on Jan 2010, installation of fixtures completed Aug 13, 2010. Move in started on Aug 1, 2010 in a phased approach to allow for rehab of other HQ DeCA facilities -on track to be completed in Sept 2011. The move from the NICHOLS II building was completed and the lease terminated on September 14, 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS)

DFAS - Oakland, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

An initial site survey visit was conducted in November 2005. The purpose of the survey was to develop a site profile for DFAS locations. The visit consisted of data collection for business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for workload and workforce transition to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Union officials for sites closing in FY06 were notified in December 2005. Voluntary Early Retirement Act (VERA) / Voluntary Separation Incentive Program (VSIP) windows and employee registration in the Priority Placement Program (PPP) were scheduled for February 2006. Human Resources scheduled Reduction in Force (RIF) notices to be issued in March 2006. DFAS, Oakland closed in June 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

	-			
Explanation	for any	delavs in	transfer of	horoperty:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2006

DFAS - San Bernardino, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

An initial site survey visit was conducted in November 2005. The purpose of the survey was to develop a site profile for DFAS locations. The visit consisted of data collection for business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for workload and workforce transition to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Union officials for sites closing in FY06 were notified in December 2005. Voluntary Early Retirement Act (VERA) / Voluntary Separation Incentive Program (VSIP) windows and employee registration in the Priority Placement Program (PPP) were scheduled for February 2006. Human Resources scheduled Reduction in Force (RIF) notices to be issued in March 2006. DFAS, San Bernardino closed in June 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2006

DFAS - San Diego, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, San Diego closed in March 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2008

DFAS - Seaside, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

An initial site survey visit was conducted in November 2005. The purpose of the survey was to develop a site profile for DFAS locations. The visit consisted of data collection for business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for workload and workforce transition to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Union officials for sites closing in FY06 were notified in December 2005. Voluntary Early Retirement Act (VERA) / Voluntary Separation Incentive Program (VSIP) windows and employee registration in the Priority Placement Program (PPP) were scheduled for February 2006. Human Resources scheduled Reduction in Force (RIF) notices to be issued in March 2006. DFAS, Seaside closed in June 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2006

DFAS - Denver, CO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Denver closed in March 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2010

DFAS - NAS Pensacola, FL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS- NAS Pensacola closed in May, 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 31 2008

DFAS - Orlando, FL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Orlando closed in August 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2007

DFAS - Pensacola Saufley Field, FL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, Pensacola Saufley Field closed in November 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 20 2010

DFAS - NS Pearl Harbor, Ford Island, HI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, NS Pearl Harbor, Ford Island closed in March 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2007

DFAS - Rock Island Arsenal, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, Rock Island Arsenal closed in June 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2008

DFAS - Lexington, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Lexington closed in June 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2006

DFAS - NAS Patuxent River, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, NAS Patuxent River closed in November 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 30 2010

DFAS - Kansas City, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, Kansas City closed in August 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2008

DFAS - St. Louis, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, St. Louis closed in June 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2007

Offutt AFB - DFAS site, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. The DFAS Site at Offut Air Force Base closed in April 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 30 2008

DFAS - Dayton, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Dayton closed in January 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 15 2007

DFAS - Ft Sill, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Lawton (Fort Sill) closed in January 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 24 2007

DFAS - Charleston, SC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, Charleston closed in January 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 31 2008

DFAS - San Antonio, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

An initial site survey visit was conducted in November 2005. The purpose of the survey was to develop a site profile for DFAS locations. The visit consisted of data collection for business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for workload and workforce transition to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Union officials for sites closing in FY06 were notified in December 2005. Voluntary Early Retirement Act (VERA) / Voluntary Separation Incentive Program (VSIP) windows and employee registration in the Priority Placement Program (PPP) were scheduled for February 2006. Human Resources scheduled Reduction in Force (RIF) notices to be issued in March 2006. DFAS, San Antonio closed in August 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2006

DFAS - NS Norfolk, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, NS Norfolk closed in January 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 31 2007

Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA)

1801 South Bell Street, Arlington - CIFA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
131	Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DoD
	Counterintelligence and Security Agency

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

All direct or indirect DIA or former CIFA lease arrangements are terminated. All DIA or former CIFA missions, activities, and military and civilian personnel positions are relocated.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 16 2009

1919 South Eads Street, Arlington - CIFA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
131	Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DoD
	Counterintelligence and Security Agency

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

All direct or indirect DIA or former CIFA lease and service contract arrangements are terminated. All DIA or former CIFA missions, activities, and military and civilian personnel positions are relocated.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 30 2005

Defense Infromation Systems Agency (DISA)

1010 Gause Boulevard, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
140	Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR
	D&A Capability

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The DISA Continuity of Operations and Test Facility (DCTF) located at 1010 Gause Blvd, Slidell, LA, was closed and returned to the city of Slidell on 20 January 2007. 100% of the Slidell workforce were placed in new positions or retired from the Federal Government. The city accepted capital improvements made to the facility during DISA's occupation and also accepted excess personal property.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 20 2007

5600 Columbia Pike, Falls Church - DISA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
140	Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR
	D&A Capability

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The RFP for DISA's new facility at Ft Meade was released on 11 Oct 2007. The design/build contract was awarded on 29 Feb 2008. Construction on the new facility began on 11 September 2008. Movement of personnel from this location was complete by 27 April 2011. Restoration was complete and the property returned to the lessor on 14 August 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 14 2011

Logicon Building, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
140	Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR
	D&A Capability

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DISA personnel have vacated this property as of 30 September 2008, and the lease was terminated in June 2009. Personnel have been temporarily relocated to the Arlington Service Center until the new facility at Ft Meade is ready. The RFP for DISA's new facility at Ft Meade was released on 11 October 2007. The design/build contract was awarded on 29 Feb 2008. Construction on the new facility began on 11 September 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 1 2009

Skyline Place (Skyline 7), Falls Church - DISA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
140	Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR
	D&A Capability

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The RFP for DISA's new facility at Ft Meade was released on 11 Oct 2007. The design/build contract was awarded on 29 Feb 2008. Construction on the new facility began on 11 September 2008. Movement of personnel from this location was complete by July 31 2011. Restoration was complete and the property returned to the lessor when the lease expired on Sept 30 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Defense Media Activity (DMA)

601 North Fairfax St, Alexandria - AFIS, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
141	Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and
	Publications

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Defense Media Activity was established 1 Oct 2008 in advance of the BRAC move. The new consolidated facility construction at Fort Meade was constructed, completed and operational by 14 September 2011. All positions were relocated and consolidated to Fort Meade by 14 September 2011. All actions were completed by 14 September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

DoD Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA)

21820 Burbank Boulevard, Woodland Hills, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
130	Co-locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DOHA completed the on June 1, 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 1 2011

Defense Security Service (DSS)

938 Elkridge Landing Road, Linthicum, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
131	Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DoD
	Counterintelligence and Security Agency

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DSS has no real property to dispose of, and therefore no remediation actions are necessary. DSS Completed the relocation of the DSS Academy from Elkridge Landing Rd to MCB Quantico in June 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 24 2011

1340 Braddock Place, Alexandria - DSS, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
131	Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DoD
	Counterintelligence and Security Agency

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

DSS submitted a Business Plan update to Headquarters Marine Corps in Feb 2011. DSS has no real property to dispose of, and therefore no remediation actions are necessary. DSS completed the realignment of DSS HQ from 1340 Braddock Place to MCB Quantico as schedulded, begining in May with the last move in Sept 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 8 2011

Missile Defense Agency (MDA)

Suffolk Building, Falls Church - MDA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
134	Co-locate Missile and Space Defense Agencies

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

The Missile Defense Agency completed the closure on September 15, 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

National Geospatial Agency (NGA)

Bldg 213 South East Federal Center Washington, DC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

NGA successfully completed construction of its new facility located at the Fort Belvoir North Area, Springfield, Virginia on 31 August 2011. Activities located at Building 213, Washington, DC were successfully moved to this new facility by 12 September 2011. NGA completed decommissioning activities at this site and terminated its lease agreement with General Services Administration (GSA) 20 January 2012.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

8510 Newington Building, Newington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

NGA successfully completed construction of its new facility located at the Fort Belvoir North Area, Springfield, Virginia on 31 August 2011. Primary NGA ITF activities located at the 8510 Newington Building were successfully moved to this new facility by 21 September 2011. Secondary IT test systems remained at Bldg 8510 until 30 September 2012 per agreement with OSD Basing Director. Follow on decommissioning was completed on 28 December 2012. NGA-vacated space was turned over as of 31 Dec 2012 to the ITF support contractor for closeout actions.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2012

0.0

8520 Newington Building, Newington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

NGA successfully completed construction of its new facility located at the Fort Belvoir North Area, Springfield, Virginia on 31 August 2011. Primary NGA ITF activities located at the 8520 Newington Building were successfully moved to this new facility by 12 September 2011. Follow on decommissioning was completed on 28 December 2012. NGA-vacated space was turned over as of 31 Dec 2012 to the ITF support contractor for closeout actions.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2012

8530 Newington Building, Newington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

NGA successfully completed construction of its new facility located at the Fort Belvoir North Area, Springfield, Virginia on 31 August 2011. Primary NGA ITF activities located at the 8530 Newington Building were successfully moved to this new facility by 12 September 2011. Follow on decommissioning was completed on 28 December 2012. NGA-vacated space was turned over as of 31 Dec 2012 to the ITF support contractor for closeout actions.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2012

Reston 1, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

NGA successfully completed construction of its new facility at the Fort Belvoir North Area, Springfield, Virginia on 31 August 2011. Activities located at Reston 1, Virginia were successfully moved to this new facility by 31 May 2011. NGA terminated the lease agreement for this facility on 5 July 2011 No actions after 2011?s report.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 5 2011

Reston 2, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

NGA successfully completed construction of its new facility at the Fort Belvoir North Area, Springfield, Virginia on 31 August 2011. Activities located at Reston 2, Virginia were successfully moved to this new facility by 12 September 2011. NGA completed decommissioning activities and terminated the lease agreement for this facility on 31 May 2012.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Reston 3, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

NGA successfully completed construction of its new facility at the Fort Belvoir North Area, Springfield, Virginia on 31 August 2011. Activities located at Reston 3, Virginia were successfully moved to this new facility by 12 September 2011. NGA completed decommissioning activities and terminated the lease agreement for this facility on 31 May 2012.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 12 2011

Washington Headquarters Service (WHS)

1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The WHS completed the closure on November, 2007. The	Nov 30 2007
	activity however, was temporarily relocated to the Gunston	
	Commerce Center. On 13 September 2011, this activity	
	was relocated to the Mark Center. Gunston Commerce	
	Center continues to stay open housing Non-BRAC	
	organizations.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 30 2007

593

1500 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The AF opted out of Mark Center relocation and realigned	May 30 2012
	their organizations to Bolling AFB and Andrews AFB. The	
	AF/SG was moved from Bolling AFB to 1500 Wilson Blvd,	
	and relocated under BRAC 198 to 7700 Arlington Blvd in	
	May 2012. All BRAC actions were completed.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 30 2012

1515 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The OSD ATL group reorganized in 2009 and personnel	Sep 13 2012
	assigned to this facility were relocated to other OSD ATL	
	sites. This facility continues to stay open, housing Non-	
	BRAC organizations. The OSD ATL group relocation to	
	Mark Center was completed in September 2012.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 13 2012

1600 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The DHRA group was relocated to Mark Center on 6 March	Mar 6 2012
	2012. The WHS completed the closure in March 2012.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 6 2012

1901 North Beauregard, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The OSD ATL group was relocated to Mark Center	Sep 13 2012
	September 2011. The WHS completed the closure in	-
	September 2011. The facility continues to stay open,	
	housing Non-BRAC organizations.	

No Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Sep 13 2012 Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

0.0

4850 Mark Center Drive, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The WHS completed the closure on 30 November, 2011.	Nov 30 2011
	The space was returned to Lessor on 30 November, 2011.	
	OSD organizations were relocated to the Mark Center. This	
	building is closed.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 30 2011

0.0

Crown Ridge Building, 4035 Ridgetop, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The WHS completed the realignment on 6 September	Sep 6 2011
	2011. Space was restored and returned to Lessor on 31	
	August 2012.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 6 2011

North Tower 2800 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The WHS completed the realignment in November 2011.	Nov 30 2011
	Space was restored and returned to Lessor.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

phient plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority:

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 30 2011

Presidental Towers, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2012:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The entire building was returned to Lessor on 30	Nov 30 2011
	November, 2011. This building is closed.	

No Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Nο

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Nov 30 2011 Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:



Department of Defense

Report on 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Implementation

November 2013

Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment)

Appendix I

Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) Issues

The Department of Defense (DoD) manages approximately 28 million acres of land, including thousands of military facilities and former defense properties throughout the United States and abroad to support its national security mission. This built and natural infrastructure provides DoD with the capability to organize, train, and equip the nation's military to perform its mission. DoD's environmental programs, such as the DERP, sustain, restore, and modernize its infrastructure to maintain readiness and protect human health and the environment.

Through the DERP, the Department complies with environmental cleanup laws, such as the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), also known as Superfund. Under the DERP, DoD addresses contamination at active installations, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) installations, and Formerly Used Defense Sites properties in the United States through the:

- **Installation Restoration Program (IRP)**: Addresses contamination from a hazardous substance or pollutant or contaminant.
- Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP): Addresses sites (referred to as munitions response sites or MRSs) known or suspected to contain unexploded ordnance (UXO), discarded military munitions (DMM), or munitions constituents (MC). MRSs do not include operational ranges, operating storage and manufacturing facilities, and where munitions result from combat.

This appendix presents cleanup information (i.e., site progress, cleanup phases and milestones, and known contamination issues) for closing BRAC 2005 addressed under DoD's DERP, as required by Section 2907 of the BRAC Act and amended by Public Law No. 110-417, the "Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009."

Cleanup Process

DoD monitors cleanup progress at DERP sites through three key stages, as shown in Figure 1: (1) investigation completed, underway, or planned; (2) cleanup completed, underway, or planned; and (3) long-term management (LTM) completed, underway, or planned.




Figure 1: Cleanup Process

- 1. <u>Investigation Completed, Underway, or Planned</u> When environmental contamination is suspected at a site, DoD begins the investigation process. DoD collects data to assess the nature and extent of contamination, if any, and the potential risks posed by the contamination during the preliminary assessment (PA), site inspection (SI), and remedial investigation (RI). If further investigation is warranted, DoD then conducts a feasibility study (FS) to examine the merits of various cleanup options and to determine the best strategy for cleanup. DoD may also implement interim remedial actions (IRAs) prior to selecting the final remedy as a part of the larger remedy. The investigation phase ends when the Record of Decision (ROD) or an equivalent decision document for the site is signed. DoD records the results of its investigation, the need for cleanup (if required), the selected strategy, and the cleanup objectives for the site in the ROD or decision document.
- 2. <u>Cleanup Completed, Underway, or Planned</u> If cleanup is required, DoD implements the selected remedy for the site during the cleanup stage. The cleanup phases include the remedial design (RD) and remedial action construction (RA-C), and may include remedial action operation (RA-O). DoD continues cleanup or arranges for the operation of the remedy until cleanup is complete. Two key milestones during this stage are:
 - A. Remedy in Place (RIP) RIP is a milestone which occurs when cleanup systems are constructed and operational.

- B. Response Complete (RC) RC is a milestone which occurs when cleanup activities are complete (though DoD or a subsequent owner may continue to monitor the sites).
- 3. <u>LTM Completed, Underway, or Planned</u> After a site achieves RC, it may still require LTM activities to ensure the site remains protective of human health and the environment. LTM includes the operation and maintenance measures required to maintain the protectiveness of the remedy. Examples of LTM include monitoring site conditions, implementing and managing land use controls, and performing 5-year reviews.

Installation Data Template

Data for each closing BRAC 2005 installation addressed under the DERP is provided in this Appendix. Figure 2 illustrates the Installation Template. The data are organized by Military Service and then alphabetically by State.





Figure 2: Installation Template


Installation Data Template Sections






This graphic depicts the introductory information for each installation. The FFID is unique to the installation and its accompanying data and enables consistent tracking of information.





DoD tracks site progress by phase (e.g., investigation, cleanup, LTM) and demonstrates progress at these sites as they move from investigation through the cleanup phases to completion.



CTC estimates are the anticipated funds needed to complete cleanup (including LTM) at IRP sites and MRSs. DoD uses CTC estimates for several purposes, including planning, programming, budgeting and execution, as well as for reporting future program requirements.

D Phase and Milestone Schedules


	Phas	Phase FY Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY eg End <=2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024																
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA																		
SI	1987	1993	<							-				-				
RIFS	1991	2015	<		1				()									
Study Completion		2015							•									
IRA	1989	1996	<															
RD	1997	2016	<	Č	10 10					(Alexandria								
RA-C	1989	2017	∢															
Remedy in Place		2017							1 3		••							
RA-O	1999	2027	4															
Response Complete		2027																•••
LTM	2009	2044																- (mm)

Provides the beginning and end date by FY for each phase, and the FY for each milestone at the installation.

Cleanup activities often span multiple FYs, and phases within the investigation and cleanup stages may occur simultaneously or overlap across FYs. In this section of the template, the phases and milestones are identified along the y-axis. The FY beginning and end dates for the phases and the FYs for the milestones are identified on the x-axis. These FYs may be estimated or actual FYs, depending on the phase and the installation's cleanup timeline. For both the phases and milestones, the beginning and end dates are recorded in the Phase FY column in which they have or will occur. Those phases and milestones occurring before 2009 are shown with an arrow indicating DoD has already completed the phase or achieved the milestone. Any phases or milestones that DoD will complete or achieve after 2024 are also depicted with an arrow.

The template also provides the expected timelines for the three major cleanup milestones: study completion, RIP, and RC. Each milestone date is graphically depicted on the template, with one dot for the study completion milestone, two dots for the RIP milestone, and three dots for the RC milestone.

E Contaminant Type



This section identifies the various types of known cleanup issues found at the installation and addressed under the DERP, as well as contaminants identified and the media affected. If DoD has selected remedies for the various cleanup actions, they are also included in this section. In some instances, DoD may use a combination of remedies to address a contaminant or apply the same remedy to various site types.

Volume II - Appendix I

Table of Contents

State	Installation Name	Page
Army		15
California		17
	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	19
Connecticut		21
	Middletown USARC	23
	Windsor Locks USARC	24
District of Colun		25
	Walter Reed Army Medical Center	27
Georgia	,	29
	Fort Gillem	31
	Fort McPherson	32
Indiana	1 dicinici neredii	33
	Newport Chemical Depot	35
Kansas	Tromport enformed Bopet	37
Ranous	Kansas Army Ammunition Plant	39
Massachusetts	Transas 7 timiy 7 timinamton 1 hant	41
maccachacttc	Arthur MacArthur USARC	43
Mississippi	7 William Mac/ William Certific	45
шоогоогррг	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	47
New Jersey	Wilsolsolppi Army Arminamilion i Tani	49
INEW Delbey	Fort Monmouth	51
	Sgt J.W. Joyce Kilmer USARC	52
Rhode Island	ogi J.W. Joyce Killier OGAING	53
Kiloue Islailu	Quinta-Gamelin USARC	55
Texas	Quinta-Gameiin GSANC	57
TEXAS	Long Star Army Ammunition Plant	59
Virginia	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant	61
Virgillia	Fort Monroe	63
Washington	FOIL MOITIGE	65
Washington	Fort Louton LISAR Complex	67
	Fort Lawton USAR Complex Vancouver Barracks	68
Nove	Valicouver barracks	71
Navy		73
Georgia	Athens NAVSCSCOL	
Maina	Athens NAVSCSCOL	75
Maine	Drugoviel NAC	77
Misseuri	Brunswick NAS	79
Missouri	Kanaga City MCCA	81
Denneudrania	Kansas City MCSA	83
Pennsylvania	Maria Crava NA C	85
Washin	Willow Grove NAS	87
Washington	Tacoma NMCRC	89
	racoma NIMURU.	41

State	Installation Name	Page
Air Force		93
Alaska		95
	Galena FOL	97
California		99
	Onizuka Air Force Station	101
Texas		103
	Brooks City-Base	105
Wisconsin		107
	General Mitchell Air Force Base	109

Army

California

Installation Name:	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	CA921382075900	State:	California

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	16	13
IRP:	15	12
MMRP:	1	1

Affected Acres: 37

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$5,801

	Phas	se FY					Phase	Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY										
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1980	2009	∢															
SI	1981	2012	∢															
RIFS	1984	1994	∢															
Study Completion		2012				•												
IRA	1990	1991	∢															
RD	1987	1995	∢															
RA-C	1993	2015	∢															
Remedy in Place		2015							••									
RA-O	1995	2016	4															
Response Complete		2016								•••								
LTM	2002	2043	∢															▶

Site Types:

Contaminated Buildings, Contaminated Ground Water, Contaminated Sediments, Landfill, Pistol Range, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Spill Site Area, Waste Treatment Plant

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Capping, Other

Contaminants:

Connecticut

Installation Name: Midd	lletown USARC	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID: CT12	21042277000	State:	Connecticut

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	12	12
IRP:	12	12
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres: 0

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$0

		Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase		Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA		1989	1992	4															
SI		1990	1996	4															
RIFS		2001	2008	4															
	Study Completion		2008	∢•															
IRA																			
RD																			
RA-C		1990	2008	4															
	Remedy in Place		2008	◀ ••															
RA-O																			
	Response Complete		2008	◀ •••															
LTM																			

Site Types:

Unknown Site Type, Contaminated Ground Water, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Leach Field, Washrack, Storage Area, Soil Contamination After Tank Removal, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Waste Removal - Soils, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, Other

Contaminants:

	Installation Name: Windso	r Lock	ks USA	RC									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installation FFID: CT1210	42258	700												State	: Co	nnec	ticut		
	Sites Sites RC						0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$0													
		Pha	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	by FY					
	Phase	Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA	1989	1993	4																
	SI	1992	1993	4																
	RIFS	2003	2008	∢																
	Study Completion		2008	⋖・																
	IRA																			
	RD																			
	RA-C		1994																	
	Remedy in Place		2008	◀ ••																
	RA-O																			
	Response Complete		2008	◀ •••																
	LTM																			
Site Typ	es:																			
Unknow	n Site Type, Disposal Pit and	Dry W	ell, Oil/	Water Se	eparate	or, Sto	orage /	Area, :	Soil C	ontam	inatio	n Afte	r Tank	Rem	oval, l	Jnder	ground	d Stora	ige Tank	S
Affected	l Media:																			
Not Rep	orted																			
Remedi	es:																			
	temoval - Soils, Waste Remo	val - Di	rums, T	anks, Bu	lk Cor	ntaine	rs													
Contam	inants:																			

District of Columbia

Installation Name:	Walter Reed Army Medical Center	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	DC321162115600	State:	District of Columbia

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	9	7
IRP:	9	7
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres: 0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$948

	Phas	se FY		Phase,						Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY	•			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1990	2011	4															
SI	1992	2009	4															
RIFS	1996	2013	4															
Study Completion		2013					•											
IRA	1994	2011	4															
RD	2010	2013																
RA-C	1995	2013	4															
Remedy in Place		2013					••											
RA-O	1996	2018	∢															
Response Complete		2018										•••						
LTM																		

Site Types:

Contaminated Ground Water, Storage Area, Spill Site Area, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Groundwater

Remedies:

Removal, Ground Water Treatment, Free Product Recovery, Natural Attenuation, Slurry Walls/Underground Barriers, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, In Situ Soil Treatment, Waste Removal - Liquids

Contaminants:

BTEX, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Georgia

Installation Name:	Fort Gillem	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	GA421402004600	State:	Georgia

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	12	2
IRP:	11	2
MMRP:	1	0

Affected Acres: 375

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$12,644

		=>.																
	Phas									Miles								
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1980	2011	4															
SI	1982	2013	∢															
RIFS	1994	2014	∢															
Study Completion		2014						•										
IRA	1993	2015	4															
RD	2013	2014																
RA-C	2012	2017																
Remedy in Place		2017									••							
RA-O	2013	2015																
Response Complete		2017									•••							
LTM	2015	2035																▶

Site Types:

Landfill, Pesticide Shop, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Alternate Water Supply/Water Supply Treatmentnt, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Dual-Phase Extraction, Slurry Walls/Underground Barriers, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, In Situ Soil Treatment

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Phenols

Installation Name:	Fort McPherson	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	GA421402056500	State:	Georgia

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	17	11
IRP:	13	8
MMRP:	4	3

Affected Acres: 0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$2,843

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone (Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1988	2009	4															
SI	1988	2011	∢															
RIFS	1992	2014	∢															
Study Completion		2014						•										
IRA	1990	2015	∢															
RD	1994	2014	∢															
RA-C	1994	2016	∢															
Remedy in Place		2016								••								
RA-O	1998	2015	∢															
Response Complete		2016								•••								
LTM	2015	2036																▶

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Fill, Contaminated Ground Water, Surface Disposal Area, Firing Range, Oil/Water Separator, Small Arms Range, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater, Free Product Recovery, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers

Contaminants:

Halogenated VOCs, Metals

Indiana

Installation Name:	Newport Chemical Depot	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	IN521382227200	State:	Indiana

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	19	19
IRP:	17	17
MMRP:	2	2

Affected Acres: 0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$548

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY	•			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1978	2007	∢															
SI	1978	2011	∢															
RIFS	1987	2012	∢															
Study Completion	n	2012				•												
IRA	2010	2010																
RD	1998	2005	∢															
RA-C	1999	2006	∢															
Remedy in Plac	e	2012				••												
RA-O																		
Response Comple	e	2012				•••												
LTM	2001	2018	∢															

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Buildings, Building Demolition/Debris Removal, Chemical Disposal, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Bioremediation, Composting, Capping, Other, Waste Removal - Solids (non-soil)

Contaminants:

Kansas

Installation Name:	Kansas Army Ammunition Plant	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	KS721382046700	State:	Kansas

	Sites	Sites RC			
Total:	29	15		0 11 0 11 (0)0	11 122
IRP:	28	15	Affected Acres: 386	Cost-to-Complete (\$K):	\$15,482
MMRP:	1	0			

	Dhas	- FV					Dhaar	Coo	4	Miles	4	Cabaa	lulaa	by EV				
	Phas									Miles								
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1987	2003	4															
SI	1987	2008	∢															
RIFS	1991	2015	∢															
Study Completion		2015							•									
IRA	1992	1998	∢															
RD	1996	2004	∢															
RA-C	2001	2015	∢															
Remedy in Place		2015							••									
RA-O	2004	2040	∢															▶
Response Complete		2040																••• 🕨
LTM	2007	2009	∢															

Site Types:

Unknown Site Type, Burn Area, Contaminated Buildings, Surface Disposal Area, Industrial Discharge, Landfill, Oil/Water Separator, Storage Area, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Incineration, Removal, Natural Attenuation, Capping, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, In Situ Soil Treatment, Solidification/Stabilization, Thermal Desorption

Contaminants:

Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Polychlorinatedbiphenyls (PCBs)

Massachusetts

	Installation Name: Arthur	MacArt	thur U	SARC									Milit	ary Se	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installation FFID: MA121	042588	500												State	: Ma	assac	nusett	s	
	Sites Sites Total: 1 0 IRP: 0 0	RC			Affe	ected	Acres	: <u></u>	0				,	Cost-t	o-Coi	mplet	e (\$K)	:	\$415	
	MMRP: 1 0	_																		
		Phas								st and										
	Phase			<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA		2007	4																
	SI	2010																		
	RIFS Study Completion	2011	2013					•												
	IRA		2013					•												
	RD																			
	RA-C	2013	2013																	
	Remedy in Place		2013					••												
	RA-O																			
	Response Complete		2013					•••												
Site Typ	es: Disposal Area																			
Surface	Disposai Area																			
Affected	l Media:																			
Not Rep																				
Remedie	es:																			
Institutio	nal Controls																			
Contam	inants:																			
Not Rep	orted																			

Mississippi

Installati	on Name:	Mississ	iggi A	rmv Aı	mmunitio	on Pla	nt			ı				Milit	arv So	ervice	: Ar	mv				
		MS421382296600								State: Mississippi												
Total: IRP:	Sites 47 45	Sites RC 46 45			Affected Acres:						0 Cost-to-Con								mplete (\$K): \$0			
MMRP:	2	1																				
			Phas	se FY	Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY																	
Phase			Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>		
PA	1990	2004	∢																			
SI 20				2008	∢																	
RIFS 2010 2014																						
	Study Cor	npletion		2014						•												

Site Types:

IRA RD RA-C

RA-O

LTM

Remedy in Place

Response Complete

2014

2014

Contaminated Buildings, Surface Disposal Area, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Incinerator, Landfill, Oil/Water Separator, Washrack, Storage Area, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Spill Site Area, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks, Waste Lines, Waste Treatment Plant, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:
Not Reported
Remedies: Not Reported
Not Reported
Contaminants:
Not Reported

New Jersey

Military Service: Army **Installation Name: Fort Monmouth** Installation FFID: NJ221382059700 State: New Jersey

Sites Sites RC Total: 57 37 IRP: 56 36 MMRP: 1 1

Affected Acres:

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$41,572

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY	•			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1990	2011	4															
SI	1990	2013	4															
RIFS	1990	2014	4															
Study Completion		2014						•										
IRA	1990	2014	∢															
RD	1996	2015	∢															
RA-C	1995	2018	4															
Remedy in Place		2019											••					
RA-O	2001	2026	∢															▶
Response Complete		2026																••• ▶
LTM	2000	2042	4															▶

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Buildings, Contaminated Fill, Surface Disposal Area, Leach Field, Industrial Discharge, Incinerator, Landfill, Maintenance Yard, Pistol Range, Pesticide Shop, Storage Area, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Tank Farm, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Drainage Controls, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater, Dual-Phase Extraction, Free Product Recovery, Air Sparging, Carbon Adsorption, Natural Attenuation, Bioremediation - In Situ, Capping, Soil Vapor Treatment, Other, In Situ Soil Treatment, Waste Removal - Solids (non-soil)

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Phenols, Polychlorinatedbiphenyls (PCBs), Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

	Installation Name: Sgt J.W	Jove	e Kilm	er USAR	RC				ı				Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
									 					,		=				4
	Installation FFID: NJ2210	4NJ00	200						ı						State	: Ne	w Jei	sey		
	Sites Sites	RC.																		
	Total: 16 15																			
	IRP: 16 15	_			Affe	ected	Acres	: 🔃	0					Cost-	to-Co	mplet	e (\$K)	:	\$96	
	MMRP: 0 0																			
		Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	tone	Sche	dules	by FY	,				
	Phase			<=2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA		2005															-		
	SI		2010																	
	RIFS		2013																	
	Study Completion		2013					•												
	IRA		2010																	
	RD																			
	RA-C																			
	Remedy in Place		2013					••												
	RA-O																			
	Response Complete		2013					•••												
	LTM																			
ite Ty	nes:																			
	n Site Type, Surface Disposa	Area	Draina	age Ditch	Stora	nge Ar	ea Ah	ove (Fround	d Stora	age Ta	ank U	ndera	round	Stora	ge Ta	nks			
J	m cho Typo, canaco Biopoca	, oa,	D. a.i.ia	.90 5 1.01 1	, 0.0.0	.907.	00,712	,,,,,	J. 0 d. 1.	<i>a</i>	.go .c	, C		·ouiia	01010	90 . u				
Affecte	d Media:																			
Soil																				
Remed	ies:																			
Remova	al																			
Contan	ninants:																			
Polynuc	clear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)																		

Rhode Island

	Installat	tion Name:	Quinta-0	Jame l	in US/	ARC									Milit	tary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installat	tion FFID:	RI12104	1AP0	700		_	_									State	: Rh	node Is	sland		
	Total: IRP:	Sites 4 4	Sites F	SC			Affe	ected	Acres		0					Cost-	to-Co	mplet	e (\$K)		\$0	
	MMRP:	0	0																			
				Phas	se FY						e, Cos											
	Phase						2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA				2008																	
	SI			2010	2011						<u> </u>					<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	RIFS			لللل				\vdash														
		Study Cor	mpletion		2011			•														
	IRA			لللل	لـــــــا		#	=	otag		 								 	 		
	RD			لللل	لـــــــا		=	—	otag		=								—	—		
	RA-C		. 5		2214																	
	-: 0	Remedy	in Place		2011			••														
	RA-O				2244																	
	LTM	Response C	ompiete		2011			•••														
Site Typ																						
Landfill,	Storage	Area, Under	rground S	torage	: Tanks	š																
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t Madia.																					
	d Media:																					
Not Rep	ortea																					
Remedie	es:																					
Not Rep																						
Contam	inants:																					
Not Rep	orted																					

Texas

Installation Name:	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	TX621382183100	State:	Texas

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	48	47
IRP:	47	46
MMRP:	1	1

Affected Acres: 145 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$6,133

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1978	2008	∢															
SI	1978	2002	∢															
RIFS	1987	2012	∢															
Study Completion		2012				•												
IRA	1982	1995	∢															
RD	1995	2004	∢															
RA-C	1988	2006	∢															
Remedy in Place		2012				••												
RA-O	2002	2036	4															▶
Response Complete		2036																••• 🕨
LTM	1996	2043	∢															▶

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Ground Water, Contaminated Sediments, Surface Disposal Area, Drainage Ditch, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Firing Range, Industrial Discharge, Landfill, Maintenance Yard, Storage Area, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Plating Shop, Spill Site Area, Underground Tank Farm, Waste Lines, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Fence or Other Site Access Control Measures, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Natural Attenuation, Containment, Capping, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers

Contaminants:

Not Reported

Virginia

Installation Name:	Fort Monroe	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	VA321372060300	State:	Virginia

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	33	8
IRP:	17	8
MMRP:	16	0

Affected Acres: 0

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$18,477

	Di	- 51					Di	0	4	N4:1	4	0 - 1		L - 5				
	Phas									Miles								
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1979	2007	4															
SI	1979	2011	∢															
RIFS	2007	2013	∢															
Study Completion		2013					•											
IRA	2011	2013																
RD	2010	2014																
RA-C	2010	2015																
Remedy in Place		2015							••									
RA-O																		
Response Complete		2015							•••									
LTM	2014	2046																▶

Site Types:

Burn Area, Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Sediments, Industrial Discharge, Landfill, Maintenance Yard, Storage Area, Soil Contamination After Tank Removal, Small Arms Range, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Institutional Controls, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater, Capping, Soil Vapor Extraction

Contaminants:

Explosives and Propellants, Halogenated SVOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Washington

Installation Name: Fort	Lawton l	USAR (Complex									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my		
Installation FFID: WAG)2104WA	01300												State	: W	ashin	aton	
motunation (1)	2104117	01000												Otate	· <u> </u>	аэтт	gton	
Sites Sit	es RC																	
Total: 16	16			A 55-	. 4 4								0 4 .	. 0-		- (61 7)		•
IRP: 13	13			ATTE	ectea	Acres	_	0	_				Cost-I	[O-CO	mpiet	e (\$K)		\$0
MMRP: 3	3																	
	Pha	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	tone	Sche	dules	by FY	,			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1991	2004	∢															
SI	2008	2010	∢															
RIFS																		
Study Complet	ion	2010		•														
IRA																		
RD																		
RA-C																		
Remedy in Plants	ace	2010		••														
RA-O		0040																
Response Compl	ete	2010		•••														
LTM																		
Site Types:																		
Unknown Site Type, Oil/Water Sep	parator, St	torage i	Area, Sm	all Arr	ns Ra	inge, L	Inderg	ground	d Stora	age Ta	anks							
Affected Media:																		
Not Reported																		
Remedies:																		
Not Reported				_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Not Reported																		
Contaminants:																		
Not Reported																		

	Installation Name:	Vancouv	ver Ba	rracks										Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installation FFID:	WA0214	102092	2400		_	_	_								State	: Wa	ashing	aton		
	Sites	Sites F	≀C																		
	Total: 7 IRP: 2	5 2	-1			Affe	ected	Acres		3				(Cost-1	to-Co	mplete	e (\$K)	: 🗀	\$0	
	MMRP: 5	3																			
			Dhac	se FY					Dhac	o Cos	t and	Milos	tono	Sched	lulos	by EV					
	Phase		Beg		<=2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA		_	2004																	
	SI		1996	2006	∢																
	RIFS		2010	2013																	
	Study Cor	mpletion		2013					•												
	IRA RD																				
	RA-C																				
	Remedy	in Place		2013					••												
	RA-O																				
	Response C	omplete		2013					•••												
	LTM																				
Site Typ																					
Contami	nated Buildings, Was	shrack, Sr	mall A	rms Ra	ange, Une	explod	led M	unition	s and	Ordna	ance A	rea									
Affected	l Media:																				
Not Rep																					
Remedi																					
Not Rep	orted																				
Contam	inants:																				
Not Rep																					
																					

Navy

Georgia

_																		
Installation Name: A	thens NAVS	csco	L									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Na	ıvy		
Installation FFID: G	SA417002471	1300												State	: Ge	orgia		
	Sites RC																	
Total: 1	1			Affe	ected	Acres		0					Cost-	to-Co	mplete	e (\$K)	: 🗀	\$0
MMRP: 0	0																	
	Pho	se FY					Dhaor	. Cos	t and	Miles	tono	Sched	duloo.	by EV				
Phase	Beg		<=2009	2040	2011			-								2022	2022	2024->
Pliase	Бед	Ena	\-2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2010	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024->
SI	1988	1988	4															
RIFS		1993																
Study Comp		1993																
IRA		2001	√															
RD		2000	∢															
RA-C		2000	4															
Remedy in	Place	2001	◀••															
RA-O		2002	∢															
Response Cor	mplete	2002	◀ •••															
LTM	2002	2002	∢															
Site Types:																		
Underground Storage Tanks																		
-																		
Affected Media:																		
Groundwater																		
Remedies:																		
Other																		
Contaminants:																		
BTEX, Nonhalogenated VOCs																		

Maine

Installation Name:	Brunswick NAS	Military Service:	Navy
Installation FFID:	ME117002201800	State:	Maine

	Sites	Sites RC			
Total:	29	16			0 0
IRP:	26	16	Affected Acres:	434	Cost-to-Complete (\$K
MMRP:	3	0			

	Phas	e FY	,															
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA																		
SI	1981	2007	4															
RIFS	1985	2021	4															
Study Completion		2021													•			
IRA	1993	1998	4															
RD	1992	2013	4															
RA-C	1993	2021	4															
Remedy in Place		2021													••			
RA-O	1995	2043	4															▶
Response Complete		2043																••• 🕨
LTM	2001	2007	∢															

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Fill, Contaminated Ground Water, Surface Disposal Area, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Open Detonation, Pesticide Shop, Storage Area, Spill Site Area, Trap and Skeet Range, Underground Tank Farm, Underground Storage Tanks, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Ground Water Treatment, Air Sparging, Long-Term Monitoring, Bioremediation, Bioremediation - In Situ, Capping, Other, Waste Removal - Solids (non-soil)

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Phenols, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

\$36,862

Missouri

		Kansas (4
	Installation Name:					Milit	e: Na	ıvy													
	Installation FFID:	FFID: MO717006738600														e: Mi	Missouri				
	Sites	Sites R																			
	Total: Sites																				
	IRP: 1	0				Affe	ected	Acres:	A	5					Cost-	to-Co	mplete	e (\$K)	<u> </u>	\$605	
	MMRP: 0 0																				
			Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	stone	Sche	dules	by FY	1				
	Phase				<=2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA																				
	SI		1994	1994	∢																
	RIFS			2003																	
	Study Com	npletion		2005	⋖・																
	IRA					<u> </u>															
	RD		الـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	لللم		₩'	<u> </u>	\blacksquare		\vdash	$\stackrel{\cdot}{=}$	<u> </u>		\sqsubseteq		=		\sqsubseteq			
	RA-C					₩		igspace		=											
	Remedy in			2005																	
	RA-O			2031																	
	Response Co	mplete		2032																••• 🕨	
	LTM																				
Site Typ																					
Contami	inated Ground Water																				
	d Media:																				
Not Rep	orted																				
Remedi	es:																				
Not Rep																					
Contam																					
Not Rep	orted																				

Pennsylvania

Installation Name: Willow Grove NAS Military Service: Navy PA317002231200 Pennsylvania **Installation FFID:** State:

Sites Sites RC Total: 14 11 IRP: 14 11 MMRP: 0 0

Affected Acres: 81 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$10,496

	Phas	se FY					Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY											
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA																		
SI	1987	1993	4															
RIFS	1991	2015	∢															
Study Completion		2015							•									
IRA	1989	1996	∢															
RD	1997	2016	∢															
RA-C	1989	2017	4															
Remedy in Place		2017									••							
RA-O	1999	2027	∢															·····•
Response Complete		2027																••• 🕨
LTM	2009	2044																▶

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Firing Range, Landfill, Storage Area, Spill Site Area, Underground Tank Farm, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Free Product Recovery

Contaminants:

Radioactive Materials, BTEX, Explosives and Propellants, Halogenated SVOCs, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Washington

	Installation Name:	Tacoma	NMC	RC										Milit	ary Se	ervice	: Na	ıvy			
	Installation FFID:	WA0170	00234	1500												State	: Wa	ashing	aton		
		1171011	<u> </u>													- Cluic			J.C.1.		
	Sites	Sites F	RC																		
	Total: 1 IRP: 1	1	-1			Affe	ected	Acres		0				(Cost-t	o-Coi	mplete	e (\$K)	: -	\$0	
	MMRP: 0	0															·				
			Dha	- FV					Dhaa	0-	4	N/III a a	4	Oabaa	ludaa	bee EV					
	Phase			se FY	4-2000	2040	2044			_	t and							2022	2022	2024=>	
	Phase PA		Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>	
	SI		1005	1995	4																
	RIFS		1990	1990																	
	Study Cor	mpletion		1995	٠.																
	IRA	присает		1994																	
	RD																				
	RA-C		1994	1995	∢																
	Remedy	in Place			◀••																
	RA-O																				
	Response C	omplete		1995	◀ •••																
	LTM																				
Site Typ	es:																				
	Ground Storage Tank																				
Affected	l Media:																				
Not Rep	orted																				
_		_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Remedie	es:																				
Other																					
Contam	inants:																				
Not Rep	orted																				

Air Force

Alaska

Installation Name:	Galena FOL	Military Service:	Air Force
Installation FFID:	AK057302865500	State:	Alaska

Sites	Sites RC
43	10
42	10
1	0
	43

Affected Acres: 162

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$104,011

	Phas	e FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1985	2012	4															
SI	1986	2011	4															
RIFS	1990	2014	∢															
Study Completion		2014						•										
IRA	1998	1998	4															
RD	2012	2015																
RA-C	2001	2016	4															
Remedy in Place		2016								••								
RA-O	2013	2020																
Response Complete		2020												•••				
LTM	2020	2999																▶

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Buildings, Contaminated Ground Water, Contaminated Sediments, Building Demolition/Debris Removal, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Oil/Water Separator, POL (Petroleum/Oil/Lubricants) Lines, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Ground Water Treatment, Soil Vapor Extraction

Contaminants:

Not Reported

California

	Installation Name:	Onizuka	AFS											Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ai	r Forc	e		
									_											_	
	Installation FFID:	CA9571	12573	600												State	: Ca	liforn	ia		
	211																				
	Sites	Sites F	RC																		
	Total: 5	5	-1			Affe	ected	Acres		0					Cost-1	o-Co	mplete	e (\$K)		\$0	
	IRP: 5 MMRP: 0	5	-1															- (+/			
	MININE.																				
			Phas	e FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	by FY	,				
	Phase		Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA		1983	1985	∢																
	SI		1983	1985	4																
	RIFS		1983	1985	∢																
	Study Cor	mpletion		1985	⋖ •																
	IRA	•		1988	∢																
	RD			1985	4																
	RA-C			1985	∢																
	Remedy	in Place		1989	◀ ••																
	RA-O			1985																	
	Response C	Complete		1989																	
	LTM				_																
O'4 - T																					
Site Typ		0:1 4																			
Surface	Disposal Area, Spill S	Site Area																			
Affected																					
Surface	Water, Groundwater,	, Sedimer	nt, Soil																		
Remedie	es:																				
Remova																					
Contam	inants:																				
Not Rep	orted																				

Texas

Installation Name:	Brooks City-Base	Military Service:	Air Force
Installation FFID:	TX657172430300	State:	Texas

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	69	67
IRP:	69	67
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres: 145 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$4,355

	Phas	e FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	by FY	•			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1985	2001	∢															
SI	1985	2002	4															
RIFS	1987	2014	4															
Study Comple	etion	2014						•										
IRA																		
RD	1994	1999	4															
RA-C	1994	1999	∢															
Remedy in F	Place	2014						••										
RA-O	1995	2020	4															
Response Com	plete	2020												•••				
LTM	1990	2999	∢															▶

Site Types:

Unknown Site Type, Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Buildings, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Oil/Water Separator, POL (Petroleum/Oil/Lubricants) Lines, Storage Area, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Trap and Skeet Range, Underground Storage Tanks, Waste Lines, Radioactive Waste Area, Waste Treatment Plant

Affected	Media:
----------	--------

Not Reported

Remedies:

Not Reported

Contaminants:

Not Reported

Wisconsin

Installation Name:	General Mitchell Air Force Base	Military Service:	Air Force
Installation FFID:	WI557122429900	State:	Wisconsin

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	10	10
IRP:	10	10
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres:

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$0

	Phas	se FY			Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY													
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1984	1995	4															
SI	1987	1999	∢															
RIFS	1989	1996	∢															
Study Completion		1999	⋖ •															
IRA																		
RD																		
RA-C	1996	1997	∢															
Remedy in Place		1999	◀ ••															
RA-O	1998	2004	4															
Response Complete		2004	◀ •••															
LTM	1997	2004	∢															

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Spill Site Area

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Phenols, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Appendix II

Non-Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) Issues

Appendix 2

Non-DERP Environmental Remediation Issues

This appendix provides details of known environmental remediation issues at installations affected by BRAC 2005 actions that are not included in the Defense Environmental Remediation Program (DERP). Currently, 9 Army and 6 Air Force installations have environmental remediation issues that are not included in DERP. For each installation that has non-DERP remediation issues a description of those issues is proved that includes the type of remediation issue; its description; acreage affected; an estimate of the cost-to-complete; a description of the plans to address each issue; and timelines for addressing each remediation issue in terms of estimated start and completion dates. (Note: For certain types of issues "acreage affected" is not relevant and therefore identified as "NA").

The types of non-DERP environmental remediation issues included are as follows:

Operational Ranges: For operational ranges at installations subject to the BRAC 05 decisions, the Departmental may need to undertake appropriate remediation actions consistent with the proposed use of the area. An operational range is defined as a range under the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the Secretary of a military department, that is a designated land or water area set aside, managed, and used to conduct research on, develop, test, and evaluate military munitions and explosives, other ordnance, or weapon systems, or to train military personnel in their use and handling.

Asbestos: Includes both friable and nonfriable asbestos-related cleanup.

Landfill Closure: Equipment expected to be installed and facilities expected to be constructed (based on the landfill closure plan) near or after the time that the landfill stops accepting additional waste, as well as the costs of the initial cover (capping) to be applied when the landfill stops accepting additional waste. Landfill closures are operated under the provisions of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

Tank Closure: RCRA corrective actions associated with contamination produced by above or below ground storage tanks and/or activities associated with a "clean close" of a tank.

Building DECON: Actions associated with the decontamination of any building or other permanent or temporary structure.

Radiological DECON: Includes actions for the decommissioning and decontamination of any location for radiological materials subject to regulation by the NRC or the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

Permits to Site Closeouts: Includes actions associated with the closure and post-closure care of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities (TSDFs).

Notice of Violation: Includes actions associated with all unresolved Notices of Violation (e.g., Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) and water discharges pursuant to National Pollution Discharge Elimination Systems (NPDES) permits).

Other: Includes any other form of environmental cleanup not addressed in other categories.

Volume II - Appendix II

Table of Contents

State	Installation Name	Page
Army		117
California		119
	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	121
District of Colu	umbia	123
	Walter Reed Army Medical Center	125
Georgia		127
	Fort Gillem	129
	Fort McPherson	130
Indiana		131
	Newport Chemical Depot	133
Kansas		135
	Kansas Army Ammunition Plant	137
Mississippi		139
	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	141
Oregon		143
	Umatilla Chemical Depot	145
Texas		147
	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant	149
Utah		151
	Deseret Depot	153
Virginia		155
	Fort Monroe	157
Air Force		159
Alaska		161
	Galena FOL	163
	Kulis AGS	164
California		165
_	Onizuka Air Force Base	167
Texas		169
	Brooks City-Base	171
Wisconsin		173
	General Mitchell Air Force Base	175

Army

California

Riverbank AAP

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)			ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Permits to Site Closeout	RCRA B Permit Closure	0.0	\$240	Closure underway	Nov 2009	Oct 2017
	Investigate and Remediate Pumps and Pits	NA	\$0	Closure Underway	Oct 2012	May 2011
Building DECON	Galbestos Siding Removal	NA	\$0	Closure underway	Oct 2011	Jan 2014
Other	Parcel 1 -PCB Area	64.0	\$868	Closure Underway	Nov 2011	Oct 2013
Totals		64	\$1108		Nov 2009	Oct 2017

District of Columbia

WALTER REED MEDICAL CENTER

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS		ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
	Rad Decommissioning-Main Post	NA	\$1381	Closure underway	Oct 2010	Nov 2013
Building DECON	Rad Decommissioning-Forest Glen	NA	\$0	Closure underway	Oct 2008	Nov 2012
Building DECON	Tank Removals	NA	\$2573	Closure Underway	Oct 2008	Mar 2018
Totals		0	\$3954		Oct 2008	Mar 2018

Georgia

Ft Gillem

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS		ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Tank Closure	Close leaking underground storage tanks	0.0		Closure underway	Oct 2009	Sep 2015
Tank Closure	Close non-leaking underground storage tanks	0.0	\$0	Closure underway	Oct 2009	Oct 2015
Totals		0	\$0		Oct 2009	Oct 2015

Ft McPherson

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)			ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Tank Closure	Close leaking underground storage tanks.	0.0	\$0	Closure Complete	Oct 2009	Oct 2010
Operational Ranges	Investigate and remediate a small arms range.	2.0	\$0	Closure underway.	Jan 2006	Sep 2016
Tank Closure	Close non-leaking underground storage tanks.	0.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Oct 2009	Oct 2010
Totals		2	\$0		Jan 2006	Sep 2016

Indiana

Newport Depot

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Other	Remediate Shop Soil Contamination	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Aug 2010	Feb 2011
Other	Drums Along North Patrol Road	0.2	\$0	Closure complete	Aug 2010	Feb 2011
Other	Batteries Along Railroad Bed	0.1	\$0	Closure complete	Sep 2010	Dec 2011
Other	Building 401A	0.0	\$303	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Oct 2013
Building DECON	Structural Decon of Explosives Building	NA	\$0	Closure complete	Sep 2008	Jan 2011
Asbestos	Asbestos Burial Sites	NA	\$0	Closure complete	Jan 2010	Dec 2010
Tank Closure	Close underground storage tanks.	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Jan 2008	Dec 2011
Totals		502.3	\$303		Jan 2008	Oct 2013

Kansas

Kansas Army Ammunition Plant

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE		COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
, and the second	Explosive decontamination of buildings.	NA	\$0	Closure underway.	Oct 2009	Sep 2016
Operational Ranges	Close operational ranges (3)	100.0	\$3069	Closure underway	Jun 2009	Sep 2023
Landfill Closure	Close operational landfills	12.0	\$641	Closure underway	Nov 2008	Sep 2040
Tank Closure	Above ground storage tank closure	45.0	\$0	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Mar 2015
Other	Investigate/remediate PBC contaminated soils	100.0	\$0	Closure underway	Mar 2011	Sep 2013
Other	Investigate/remediate hazardous material/waste site	36.0	\$0	Closure underway	Jun 2009	Sep 2017
Operational Ranges	Investigate/remediate pistol range	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2009	Dec 2011
Other	Investigate and remediate an explosive waste incinerator (2)	2.5	\$0	Closure underway	Mar 2009	Sep 2013
Other	Contaminated Waste Processor	4.0	\$0	Closure complete	Feb 2009	Dec 2011
Other	Investigate/remediate Igloo Storage Areas 1700 & 1900	583.0	\$0	Closure underway	Dec 2009	Sep 2014
Other	Investigate/remediate Production Area Building Foundation	0.0	\$1258	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Dec 2016
Other	Investigate/remediate Production Area Outfall Sumps	0.0	\$122	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Dec 2015
Other	Survey/remediation of igloo storage area (Areas 1500 & 1600)	504.0	\$0	Closure underway	Oct 2009	Dec 2014
Other	Pond Area at 900 Area	1.0	\$134	Closure underway	Feb 2010	Sep 2014
Other	Historic Furnace Area	7.4	\$2123	Closure underway	Nov 2010	Sep 2019
Totals		1395.9	\$7347		Nov 2008	Sep 2040

Mississippi

Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE	COST TO	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE		START	COMPLETE
			(\$K)		DATE	DATE
Other	Projectile Metal Parts	62.0	\$0	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Jan 2014
Totals		62	\$0		Mar 2010	Jan 2014

Oregon

Umatilla Depot

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	-	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
_	Inactive Munitions Process Building	NA	\$1038	Closure Underway	Nov 2010	Oct 2014
Totals		0	\$1038		Nov 2010	Oct 2014

Texas

Lone Star AAP

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE		COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Landfill Closure	Close operational landfills	66.0	\$3274	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2013
Other	HIGH EXPLOSIVES DEMOLITION GROUND	157.0	\$36253	Fund requirements in FY13	Feb 2013	Sep 2046
Other	RCRA STORAGE AREA T-4-2	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	XX Test Area	52.0	\$5087	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Apr 2017
Other	3 Container Storage Areas	3.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	5 Pinkwater Treatment Plant Sites	5.0	\$1155	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Sep 2015
Other	28 Load Line Sites	1846.0	\$2590	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Sep 2015
Other	Lead Treatment Plant	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	Chrome Waste Water Treatment Facility	1.0	\$385	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Area BB RR Service Area	50.0	\$24	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Salvage Yard	10.0	\$380	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Inert Storage and Maintenance Area	5.0	\$431	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Building I-39 Yard	5.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Sep 2011
Other	2 Hazardous Waste Areas	2.0	\$223	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Dec 2015
Other	NRC License	0.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	Old Boston Road Landfill	18.0	\$8372	Fund requirements in FY12	Jan 2012	Sep 2017
Other	4 Igloos	1954.0	\$69	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	High Explosives Burning Ground	25.0	\$8013	Fund requirements in FY12	Feb 2012	Jun 2045
Other	Salvage Yard	10.0	\$842	Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Inert Storage and Maintenance Area	5.0	\$431	Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Building I-39 Yard	5.0	\$0	Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2011
Other	2 Hazardous Waste Areas	2.0	\$223	Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Dec 2015
Other	NRC License	0.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	Old Boston Road Landfill	18.0	\$8372	Fund requirements in FY15 to close	Jan 2012	Sep 2018
Other	4 Igloos	1954.0		Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	High Explosives Burning Ground	25.0		Fund requirements in FY13	Oct 2012	Jan 2026
Totals		6220	\$84206		Feb 2009	Sep 2046

Utah

Deseret Depot

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE	COST TO	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE		START	COMPLETE
			(\$K)		DATE	DATE
Other	OB/OD Grounds	1.0	\$3917	Closure Underway.	Sep 2011	Dec 2013
Totals		1	\$3917		Sep 2011	Dec 2013

Virginia

Ft Monroe

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)		_	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Tank Closure	Close underground storage tanks.	0.2	\$0	Closure complete.	Mar 2011	Oct 2011
Tank Closure	Close underground storage tanks	0.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2011	Oct 2011
Other	Lead-based paint survey (housing)	0.0	\$0	Survey complete	Jan 2011	Oct 2011
Totals		0.2	\$0		Jan 2011	Oct 2011

Air Force

Alaska

Galena Airport FOL

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	_	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
	Investigations of contamination, remedial actions, and documentation	162.0		Investigate, install remediation, operate remediation systems, mandatory five year reviews and documentation	Sep 2008	Jan 2038
Totals		162	\$52.32		Sep 2008	Jan 2038

Kulis AGS

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	_	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Other	Complete close out of petroleum and hazardous waste sites	129.0		Complete close out actions and documentation.	Oct 2008	Sep 2011
Totals		129.0	\$300		Oct 2008	Sep 2011

California

Onizuka AFS

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE		DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	_	
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	COMPLETE DATE
0.11		22.2	. ,			
Other	Historic evaluation survey and	20.0	\$76	Execute plans to address	Oct 2008	Sep 2011
	report, and environmental			issues.		
	documentation of conditions.					
Totals		20	\$76		Oct 2008	Sep 2011

Texas

Brooks City-Base

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE		COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Permits to Site Closeout	EIS/EBS (Final Environmental Condition Document)	11.0	\$234	Prepare Supplemental EBS and update VSIs for all facilities, prepare other environmental documents that may be required.	Oct 2008	Nov 2011
Radiological DECON	Radiological operating sites require DECON	NA	\$6695	B1193 is major cost driver since to remove the equipment requires the roof be removed and then replaced afterwards. Nine smaller facilities will have the equipment removed and the radiological work areas DECON.		Mar 2012
Permits to Site Closeout	Solid and hazardous waste was generated at base	11.0	\$1809	Identify, manage and dispose of solid and hazardous wastes generated by mission activities. (Project reduced by \$293K in FY08 to add to funded accelerated IRP site requirements to reduce site closure time from 2020 to 2011.)	Aug 2010	Sep 2011
Tank Closure	UST at AAFES gas station	0.5		Remove UST at AAFES gas station, investigate and remediate as needed.	Oct 2009	Mar 2014
Asbestos	Asbestos, radon and PCB at various sites.	NA	\$9748	Investigate ACM, radon, and PCB in historic area and all mission-related facilities as needed and remediate as necessary. Asbestos is expected to dominate this effort.	Oct 2007	Sep 2011
Totals		22.5	\$18677		Oct 2007	Sep 2011

Wisconsin

Gen Mitchell IAP ARS

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE	COST TO	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	COMPLETE DATE
211	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100.0				
Other	Site close outs, facilities corrective actions such as asbestos removal and documentation	102.0		Contract actions being implemented for execution.	Sep 2008	Feb 2010
Totals		102	\$1.55		Sep 2008	Feb 2010

Appendix III Section 2907 Law

Appendix 3

Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Public Law 101-510, as Amended

SEC. 2907. REPORTS

As part of the budget request for fiscal year 2007 and for each fiscal year thereafter for the Department of Defense, the Secretary shall transmit to the congressional defense committees of Congress:

- (1) a schedule of the closure actions to be carried out under this part in the fiscal year for which the request is made and an estimate of the total expenditures required and cost savings to be achieved by each such closure and of the time period in which these savings are to be achieved in each case, together with the Secretary's assessment of the environmental effects of such actions;
- (2) a description of the military installations, including those under construction and those planned for construction, to which functions are to be transferred as a result of such closures, together with the Secretary's assessment of the environmental effects of such transfers;
- (3) a description of the closure actions already carried out at each military installation since the date of the installation's approval for closure under this part and the current status of the closure of the installation, including whether
 - (A) a redevelopment authority has been recognized by the Secretary for the installation:
 - (B) the screening of property at the installation for other Federal use has been completed; and
 - (C) a redevelopment plan has been agreed to by the redevelopment authority for the installation;
- (4) a description of redevelopment plans for military installations approved for closure under this part, the quantity of property remaining to be disposed of at each installation as part of its closure, and the quantity of property already disposed of at each installation;
- (5) a list of the Federal agencies that have requested property during the screening process for each military installation approved for closure under this part, including the date of transfer or anticipated transfer of the property to such agencies, the acreage involved in such transfers, and an explanation for any delays in such transfers;
- (6) a list of known environmental remediation issues at each military installation approved for closure under this part, including the acreage affected by these issues, an estimate of the cost to complete such environmental remediation, and the plans (and timelines) to address such environmental remediation; and
- (7) an estimate of the date for the completion of all closure actions at each military installation approved for closure under this part.



Department of Defense

Report on 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Implementation

November 2013

Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment)

Appendix I

Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) Issues

The Department of Defense (DoD) manages approximately 28 million acres of land, including thousands of military facilities and former defense properties throughout the United States and abroad to support its national security mission. This built and natural infrastructure provides DoD with the capability to organize, train, and equip the nation's military to perform its mission. DoD's environmental programs, such as the DERP, sustain, restore, and modernize its infrastructure to maintain readiness and protect human health and the environment.

Through the DERP, the Department complies with environmental cleanup laws, such as the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), also known as Superfund. Under the DERP, DoD addresses contamination at active installations, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) installations, and Formerly Used Defense Sites properties in the United States through the:

- **Installation Restoration Program (IRP)**: Addresses contamination from a hazardous substance or pollutant or contaminant.
- Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP): Addresses sites (referred to as munitions response sites or MRSs) known or suspected to contain unexploded ordnance (UXO), discarded military munitions (DMM), or munitions constituents (MC). MRSs do not include operational ranges, operating storage and manufacturing facilities, and where munitions result from combat.

This appendix presents cleanup information (i.e., site progress, cleanup phases and milestones, and known contamination issues) for closing BRAC 2005 addressed under DoD's DERP, as required by Section 2907 of the BRAC Act and amended by Public Law No. 110-417, the "Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009."

Cleanup Process

DoD monitors cleanup progress at DERP sites through three key stages, as shown in Figure 1: (1) investigation completed, underway, or planned; (2) cleanup completed, underway, or planned; and (3) long-term management (LTM) completed, underway, or planned.




Figure 1: Cleanup Process

- 1. <u>Investigation Completed, Underway, or Planned</u> When environmental contamination is suspected at a site, DoD begins the investigation process. DoD collects data to assess the nature and extent of contamination, if any, and the potential risks posed by the contamination during the preliminary assessment (PA), site inspection (SI), and remedial investigation (RI). If further investigation is warranted, DoD then conducts a feasibility study (FS) to examine the merits of various cleanup options and to determine the best strategy for cleanup. DoD may also implement interim remedial actions (IRAs) prior to selecting the final remedy as a part of the larger remedy. The investigation phase ends when the Record of Decision (ROD) or an equivalent decision document for the site is signed. DoD records the results of its investigation, the need for cleanup (if required), the selected strategy, and the cleanup objectives for the site in the ROD or decision document.
- 2. <u>Cleanup Completed, Underway, or Planned</u> If cleanup is required, DoD implements the selected remedy for the site during the cleanup stage. The cleanup phases include the remedial design (RD) and remedial action construction (RA-C), and may include remedial action operation (RA-O). DoD continues cleanup or arranges for the operation of the remedy until cleanup is complete. Two key milestones during this stage are:
 - A. Remedy in Place (RIP) RIP is a milestone which occurs when cleanup systems are constructed and operational.

- B. Response Complete (RC) RC is a milestone which occurs when cleanup activities are complete (though DoD or a subsequent owner may continue to monitor the sites).
- 3. <u>LTM Completed, Underway, or Planned</u> After a site achieves RC, it may still require LTM activities to ensure the site remains protective of human health and the environment. LTM includes the operation and maintenance measures required to maintain the protectiveness of the remedy. Examples of LTM include monitoring site conditions, implementing and managing land use controls, and performing 5-year reviews.

Installation Data Template

Data for each closing BRAC 2005 installation addressed under the DERP is provided in this Appendix. Figure 2 illustrates the Installation Template. The data are organized by Military Service and then alphabetically by State.





Figure 2: Installation Template


Installation Data Template Sections






This graphic depicts the introductory information for each installation. The FFID is unique to the installation and its accompanying data and enables consistent tracking of information.





DoD tracks site progress by phase (e.g., investigation, cleanup, LTM) and demonstrates progress at these sites as they move from investigation through the cleanup phases to completion.



CTC estimates are the anticipated funds needed to complete cleanup (including LTM) at IRP sites and MRSs. DoD uses CTC estimates for several purposes, including planning, programming, budgeting and execution, as well as for reporting future program requirements.

D Phase and Milestone Schedules


	Phas	se FY		Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY														
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA																		
SI	1987	1993	<						7	-				-				
RIFS	1991	2015	<															
Study Completion		2015							•									
IRA	1989	1996	<															
RD	1997	2016	<		1000000				(c 5)									
RA-C	1989	2017	∢															
Remedy in Place		2017									••							
RA-O	1999	2027	4						1									
Response Complete		2027																•••
LTM	2009	2044																- (mm)

Provides the beginning and end date by FY for each phase, and the FY for each milestone at the installation.

Cleanup activities often span multiple FYs, and phases within the investigation and cleanup stages may occur simultaneously or overlap across FYs. In this section of the template, the phases and milestones are identified along the y-axis. The FY beginning and end dates for the phases and the FYs for the milestones are identified on the x-axis. These FYs may be estimated or actual FYs, depending on the phase and the installation's cleanup timeline. For both the phases and milestones, the beginning and end dates are recorded in the Phase FY column in which they have or will occur. Those phases and milestones occurring before 2009 are shown with an arrow indicating DoD has already completed the phase or achieved the milestone. Any phases or milestones that DoD will complete or achieve after 2024 are also depicted with an arrow.

The template also provides the expected timelines for the three major cleanup milestones: study completion, RIP, and RC. Each milestone date is graphically depicted on the template, with one dot for the study completion milestone, two dots for the RIP milestone, and three dots for the RC milestone.

E Contaminant Type



This section identifies the various types of known cleanup issues found at the installation and addressed under the DERP, as well as contaminants identified and the media affected. If DoD has selected remedies for the various cleanup actions, they are also included in this section. In some instances, DoD may use a combination of remedies to address a contaminant or apply the same remedy to various site types.

Volume II - Appendix I

Table of Contents

State	Installation Name	Page
Army		15
California		17
	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	19
Connecticut	<u> </u>	21
	Middletown USARC	23
	Windsor Locks USARC	24
District of Colun		25
	Walter Reed Army Medical Center	27
Georgia	,	29
	Fort Gillem	31
	Fort McPherson	32
Indiana	1 dicinion notices.	33
	Newport Chemical Depot	35
Kansas	Tromport entermedi Bepet	37
Ranous	Kansas Army Ammunition Plant	39
Massachusetts	Transas 7 timiy 7 timinamton 1 hant	41
maccachacttc	Arthur MacArthur USARC	43
Mississippi	7 William Mac/ William Certific	45
шоогоогррг	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	47
New Jersey	Wilsolsolppi Army Arminamilion i Tant	49
itew beisey	Fort Monmouth	51
	Sgt J.W. Joyce Kilmer USARC	52
Rhode Island	ogra.w. adyce Millier downo	53
Kiloue Islailu	Quinta-Gamelin USARC	55
Texas	Quinta-Gameiin OSARC	57
TEXAS	Long Star Army Ammunition Plant	59
Virginia	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant	61
Virgillia	Fort Monroe	63
Washington	FOIL MOITIGE	65
Washington	Fort Louton LISAR Complex	67
	Fort Lawton USAR Complex Vancouver Barracks	68
Nove	Valicouver barracks	71
Navy		73
Georgia	Athens NAVSCSCOL	
Maina	Athens NAVSCSCOL	75
Maine	Drugoviel NAC	77
Misseuri	Brunswick NAS	79
Missouri	Kanaga City MCCA	81
Denneudrania	Kansas City MCSA	83
Pennsylvania	Maria Crava NA C	85
Washin	Willow Grove NAS	87
Washington	Tacoma NMCRC	89
	racoma NIMURU.	41

State	Installation Name	Page
Air Force		93
Alaska		95
	Galena FOL	97
California		99
	Onizuka Air Force Station	101
Texas		103
	Brooks City-Base	105
Wisconsin		107
	General Mitchell Air Force Base	109

Army

California

Installation Name:	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	CA921382075900	State:	California

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	16	13
IRP:	15	12
MMRP:	1	1

Affected Acres: 37

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$5,801

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY					
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>	
PA	1980	2009	∢																
SI	1981	2012	∢																
RIFS	1984	1994	∢																
Study Completion		2012				•													
IRA	1990	1991	∢																
RD	1987	1995	∢																
RA-C	1993	2015	∢																
Remedy in Place		2015							••										
RA-O	1995	2016	4																
Response Complete		2016								•••									
LTM	2002	2043	∢															▶	

Site Types:

Contaminated Buildings, Contaminated Ground Water, Contaminated Sediments, Landfill, Pistol Range, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Spill Site Area, Waste Treatment Plant

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Capping, Other

Contaminants:

Connecticut

Installation Name: Midd	lletown USARC	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID: CT12	21042277000	State:	Connecticut

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	12	12
IRP:	12	12
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres: 0

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$0

		Phas	se FY					Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY											
Phase		Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA		1989	1992	4															
SI		1990	1996	4															
RIFS		2001	2008	4															
	Study Completion		2008	∢•															
IRA																			
RD																			
RA-C		1990	2008	4															
	Remedy in Place		2008	◀ ••															
RA-O																			
	Response Complete		2008	◀ •••															
LTM																			

Site Types:

Unknown Site Type, Contaminated Ground Water, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Leach Field, Washrack, Storage Area, Soil Contamination After Tank Removal, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Waste Removal - Soils, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, Other

Contaminants:

	Installation Name: Windso	r Lock	ks USA	RC									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installation FFID: CT1210	42258	700						State: Connecticut											
	Sites Sites Total: 26 26 IRP: 26 26 MMRP: 0 0				Affe	ected	Acres		0	1			,	Cost-1	:o-Coi	mplet	e (\$K)	:	\$0	
		Pha	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	by FY					
	Phase	Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA	1989	1993	4																
	SI	1992	1993	4																
	RIFS	2003	2008	∢																
	Study Completion		2008	⋖・																
	IRA																			
	RD																			
	RA-C		1994																	
	Remedy in Place		2008	◀ ••																
	RA-O																			
	Response Complete		2008	◀ •••																
	LTM																			
Site Typ	es:																			
Unknow	n Site Type, Disposal Pit and	Dry W	ell, Oil/	Water Se	eparate	or, Sto	orage /	Area, :	Soil C	ontam	inatio	n Afte	r Tank	Rem	oval, l	Jnder	ground	d Stora	ige Tank	S
Affected	l Media:																			
Not Rep	orted																			
Remedi	es:																			
	temoval - Soils, Waste Remo	val - Di	rums, T	anks, Bu	lk Cor	ntaine	rs													
Contam	inants:																			

District of Columbia

Installation Name:	Walter Reed Army Medical Center	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	DC321162115600	State:	District of Columbia

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	9	7
IRP:	9	7
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres: 0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$948

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY	•			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1990	2011	4															
SI	1992	2009	4															
RIFS	1996	2013	4															
Study Completion		2013					•											
IRA	1994	2011	4															
RD	2010	2013																
RA-C	1995	2013	4															
Remedy in Place		2013					••											
RA-O	1996	2018	∢															
Response Complete		2018										•••						
LTM																		

Site Types:

Contaminated Ground Water, Storage Area, Spill Site Area, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Groundwater

Remedies:

Removal, Ground Water Treatment, Free Product Recovery, Natural Attenuation, Slurry Walls/Underground Barriers, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, In Situ Soil Treatment, Waste Removal - Liquids

Contaminants:

BTEX, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Georgia

Installation Name:	Fort Gillem	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	GA421402004600	State:	Georgia

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	12	2
IRP:	11	2
MMRP:	1	0

Affected Acres: 375

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$12,644

		=>.																
	Phas									Miles								
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1980	2011	4															
SI	1982	2013	∢															
RIFS	1994	2014	∢															
Study Completion		2014						•										
IRA	1993	2015	4															
RD	2013	2014																
RA-C	2012	2017																
Remedy in Place		2017									••							
RA-O	2013	2015																
Response Complete		2017									•••							
LTM	2015	2035																▶

Site Types:

Landfill, Pesticide Shop, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Alternate Water Supply/Water Supply Treatmentnt, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Dual-Phase Extraction, Slurry Walls/Underground Barriers, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, In Situ Soil Treatment

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Phenols

Installation Name:	Fort McPherson	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	GA421402056500	State:	Georgia

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	17	11
IRP:	13	8
MMRP:	4	3

Affected Acres: 0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$2,843

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone (Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1988	2009	4															
SI	1988	2011	∢															
RIFS	1992	2014	∢															
Study Completion		2014						•										
IRA	1990	2015	∢															
RD	1994	2014	∢															
RA-C	1994	2016	∢															
Remedy in Place		2016								••								
RA-O	1998	2015	∢															
Response Complete		2016								•••								
LTM	2015	2036																▶

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Fill, Contaminated Ground Water, Surface Disposal Area, Firing Range, Oil/Water Separator, Small Arms Range, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater, Free Product Recovery, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers

Contaminants:

Halogenated VOCs, Metals

Indiana

Installation Name:	Newport Chemical Depot	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	IN521382227200	State:	Indiana

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	19	19
IRP:	17	17
MMRP:	2	2

Affected Acres: 0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$548

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY	•			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1978	2007	∢															
SI	1978	2011	∢															
RIFS	1987	2012	∢															
Study Completion	n	2012				•												
IRA	2010	2010																
RD	1998	2005	∢															
RA-C	1999	2006	∢															
Remedy in Plac	e	2012				••												
RA-O																		
Response Comple	e	2012				•••												
LTM	2001	2018	∢															

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Buildings, Building Demolition/Debris Removal, Chemical Disposal, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Bioremediation, Composting, Capping, Other, Waste Removal - Solids (non-soil)

Contaminants:

Kansas

Installation Name:	Kansas Army Ammunition Plant	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	KS721382046700	State:	Kansas

	Sites	Sites RC			
Total:	29	15		0 11 0 11 (0)0	11 122
IRP:	28	15	Affected Acres: 386	Cost-to-Complete (\$K):	\$15,482
MMRP:	1	0			

	Dhas	- FV					Dhaar	Coo	4	Miles	4	Cabaa	lulaa	by EV				
	Phas									Miles								
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1987	2003	4															
SI	1987	2008	∢															
RIFS	1991	2015	∢															
Study Completion		2015							•									
IRA	1992	1998	∢															
RD	1996	2004	∢															
RA-C	2001	2015	∢															
Remedy in Place		2015							••									
RA-O	2004	2040	∢															▶
Response Complete		2040																••• 🕨
LTM	2007	2009	∢															

Site Types:

Unknown Site Type, Burn Area, Contaminated Buildings, Surface Disposal Area, Industrial Discharge, Landfill, Oil/Water Separator, Storage Area, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Incineration, Removal, Natural Attenuation, Capping, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, In Situ Soil Treatment, Solidification/Stabilization, Thermal Desorption

Contaminants:

Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Polychlorinatedbiphenyls (PCBs)

Massachusetts

	Installation Name: Arthur	MacArt	thur U	SARC									Milit	ary Se	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installation FFID: MA121	042588	500												State	: Ma	assac	nusett	s	
	Sites Sites Total: 1 0 IRP: 0 0	RC			Affe	ected	Acres	: <u></u>	0				,	Cost-t	o-Coi	mplet	e (\$K)	:	\$415	
	MMRP: 1 0	_																		
		Phas								st and										
	Phase			<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA		2007	4																
	SI	2010																		
	RIFS Study Completion	2011	2013					•												
	IRA		2013					•												
	RD																			
	RA-C	2013	2013																	
	Remedy in Place		2013					••												
	RA-O																			
	Response Complete		2013					•••												
Site Typ	es: Disposal Area																			
Surface	Disposal Area																			
Affected	l Media:																			
Not Rep																				
Remedie	es:																			
Institutio	nal Controls																			
Contam	inants:																			
Not Rep	orted																			

Mississippi

Installati	on Name:	Mississ	iggi A	rmv Aı	mmunitid	on Pla	nt			ı				Milit	arv So	ervice	: Ar	mv		
		MS4213														State		ssissi	ippi	
Sites Sites RC Total: 47 46 IRP: 45 45 Affected Acres:										0	١				Cost-1	to-Cor	nplete	e (\$K)		\$0
MMRP:	2	1																		
			Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone (Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase			Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA			1990	2004	∢															
SI			2005	2008	∢															
RIFS			2010	2014																
	Study Completion 2014									•										

Site Types:

IRA RD RA-C

RA-O

LTM

Remedy in Place

Response Complete

2014

2014

Contaminated Buildings, Surface Disposal Area, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Incinerator, Landfill, Oil/Water Separator, Washrack, Storage Area, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Spill Site Area, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks, Waste Lines, Waste Treatment Plant, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:
Not Reported
Remedies: Not Reported
Not Reported
Contaminants:
Not Reported

New Jersey

Military Service: Army **Installation Name: Fort Monmouth** Installation FFID: NJ221382059700 State: New Jersey

Sites Sites RC Total: 57 37 IRP: 56 36 MMRP: 1 1

Affected Acres:

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$41,572

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY	•			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1990	2011	4															
SI	1990	2013	4															
RIFS	1990	2014	4															
Study Completion		2014						•										
IRA	1990	2014	∢															
RD	1996	2015	∢															
RA-C	1995	2018	4															
Remedy in Place		2019											••					
RA-O	2001	2026	∢															▶
Response Complete		2026																••• ▶
LTM	2000	2042	4															▶

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Buildings, Contaminated Fill, Surface Disposal Area, Leach Field, Industrial Discharge, Incinerator, Landfill, Maintenance Yard, Pistol Range, Pesticide Shop, Storage Area, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Tank Farm, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Drainage Controls, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater, Dual-Phase Extraction, Free Product Recovery, Air Sparging, Carbon Adsorption, Natural Attenuation, Bioremediation - In Situ, Capping, Soil Vapor Treatment, Other, In Situ Soil Treatment, Waste Removal - Solids (non-soil)

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Phenols, Polychlorinatedbiphenyls (PCBs), Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

	Installation Name: Sgt J.W	Jove	e Kilm	er USAR	RC				ı				Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
									 					,		=				4
	Installation FFID: NJ2210	4NJ00	200						ı						State	: Ne	w Jei	sey		
	Sites Sites	RC.																		
	Total: 16 15																			
	IRP: 16 15	_			Affe	ected	Acres	: 🔃	0					Cost-	to-Co	mplet	e (\$K)	:	\$96	
	MMRP: 0 0																			
		Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	tone	Sche	dules	by FY	,				
	Phase			<=2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA		2005															-		
	SI		2010																	
	RIFS		2013																	
	Study Completion		2013					•												
	IRA		2010																	
	RD																			
	RA-C																			
	Remedy in Place		2013					••												
	RA-O																			
	Response Complete		2013					•••												
	LTM																			
ite Ty	nes:																			
	n Site Type, Surface Disposa	Area	Draina	age Ditch	Stora	nge Ar	ea Ah	ove (Fround	d Stora	age Ta	ank U	ndera	round	Stora	ge Ta	nks			
J	m cho Typo, canaco Biopoca	, oa,	D. a.i.ia	.90 5 1.01 1	, 0.0.0	.907.	00,712	,,,,,	J. 0 d. 1.	<i>a</i>	.go .c	, C		·ouiia	01010	90 . u				
Affecte	d Media:																			
Soil																				
Remed	ies:																			
Remova	al																			
Contan	ninants:																			
Polynuc	clear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)																		

Rhode Island

	Installat	tion Name:	Quinta-0	Jame l	in US/	ARC									Milit	tary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installat	tion FFID:	RI12104	1AP0	700		_	_									State	: Rh	node Is	sland		
	Total: IRP:	Sites 4 4	Sites F	SC			Affe	ected	Acres		0					Cost-	to-Co	mplet	e (\$K)		\$0	
	MMRP:	0	0																			
				Phas	se FY						e, Cos											
	Phase						2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA				2008																	
	SI			2010	2011						<u> </u>					<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	RIFS			لللل				\vdash														
		Study Cor	mpletion		2011			•														
	IRA			لللل	لـــــــا		#	=	otag		 								 	 		
	RD			لللل	لـــــــا		=	—	otag		=								—	—		
	RA-C		. 5		2214																	
	-: 0	Remedy	in Place		2011			••														
	RA-O				2244																	
	LTM	Response C	ompiete		2011			•••														
Site Typ																						
Landfill,	Storage	Area, Under	rground S	torage	: Tanks	š																
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t Madia.																					
	d Media:																					
Not Rep	ortea																					
Remedie	es:																					
Not Rep																						
Contam	inants:																					
Not Rep	orted																					

Texas

Installation Name:	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	TX621382183100	State:	Texas

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	48	47
IRP:	47	46
MMRP:	1	1

Affected Acres: 145 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$6,133

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1978	2008	∢															
SI	1978	2002	∢															
RIFS	1987	2012	∢															
Study Completion		2012				•												
IRA	1982	1995	∢															
RD	1995	2004	∢															
RA-C	1988	2006	∢															
Remedy in Place		2012				••												
RA-O	2002	2036	4															▶
Response Complete		2036																••• 🕨
LTM	1996	2043	∢															▶

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Ground Water, Contaminated Sediments, Surface Disposal Area, Drainage Ditch, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Firing Range, Industrial Discharge, Landfill, Maintenance Yard, Storage Area, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Plating Shop, Spill Site Area, Underground Tank Farm, Waste Lines, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Fence or Other Site Access Control Measures, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Natural Attenuation, Containment, Capping, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers

Contaminants:

Not Reported

Virginia

Installation Name:	Fort Monroe	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	VA321372060300	State:	Virginia

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	33	8
IRP:	17	8
MMRP:	16	0

Affected Acres: 0

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$18,477

	Di	- 51					Di	0	4	N4:1	4	0 - 1		L - 5				
	Phas									Miles								
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1979	2007	4															
SI	1979	2011	∢															
RIFS	2007	2013	∢															
Study Completion		2013					•											
IRA	2011	2013																
RD	2010	2014																
RA-C	2010	2015																
Remedy in Place		2015							••									
RA-O																		
Response Complete		2015							•••									
LTM	2014	2046																▶

Site Types:

Burn Area, Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Sediments, Industrial Discharge, Landfill, Maintenance Yard, Storage Area, Soil Contamination After Tank Removal, Small Arms Range, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Institutional Controls, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater, Capping, Soil Vapor Extraction

Contaminants:

Explosives and Propellants, Halogenated SVOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Washington

Installation Name: Fort	Lawton l	USAR (Complex									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my		
Installation FFID: WAG)2104WA	01300												State	: W	ashin	aton	
motunation (1)	2104117	01000												Otate	· <u> </u>	аэтт	gton	
Sites Sit	es RC																	
Total: 16	16			A 55-	. 4 4								0 4 .	. 0-		- (61 7)		•
IRP: 13	13			ATTE	ectea	Acres	_	0	_				Cost-I	[O-CO	mpiet	e (\$K)		\$0
MMRP: 3	3																	
	Pha	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	tone	Sche	dules	by FY	,			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1991	2004	∢															
SI	2008	2010	∢															
RIFS																		
Study Complet	ion	2010		•														
IRA																		
RD																		
RA-C																		
Remedy in Plants	ace	2010		••														
RA-O		0040																
Response Compl	ete	2010		•••														
LTM																		
Site Types:																		
Unknown Site Type, Oil/Water Sep	parator, St	torage i	Area, Sm	all Arr	ns Ra	inge, L	Inderg	ground	d Stora	age Ta	anks							
Affected Media:																		
Not Reported																		
Remedies:																		
Not Reported				_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Not Reported																		
Contaminants:																		
Not Reported																		

	Installation Name:	Vancouv	ver Ba	rracks										Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installation FFID:	WA0214	102092	2400		_	_	_								State	: Wa	ashing	aton		
	Sites	Sites F	≀C																		
	Total: 7 IRP: 2	5 2	-1			Affe	ected	Acres		3				(Cost-1	to-Co	mplete	e (\$K)	: 🗀	\$0	
	MMRP: 5	3																			
			Dhac	se FY					Dhac	o Cos	t and	Milos	tono	Sched	lulos	by EV					
	Phase		Beg		<=2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA		_	2004																	
	SI		1996	2006	∢																
	RIFS		2010	2013																	
	Study Cor	mpletion		2013					•												
	IRA RD																				
	RA-C																				
	Remedy	in Place		2013					••												
	RA-O																				
	Response C	omplete		2013					•••												
	LTM																				
Site Typ																					
Contami	nated Buildings, Was	shrack, Sr	mall A	rms Ra	ange, Une	explod	led M	unition	s and	Ordna	ance A	rea									
Affected	l Media:																				
Not Rep																					
Remedi																					
Not Rep	orted																				
Contam	inants:																				
Not Rep																					
																					

Navy

Georgia

_																		
Installation Name: A	thens NAVS	csco	L									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Na	ıvy		
Installation FFID: G	SA417002471	1300												State	: Ge	orgia		
	Sites RC																	
Total: 1	1			Affe	ected	Acres		0					Cost-	to-Co	mplete	e (\$K)	: 🗀	\$0
MMRP: 0	0																	
	Pho	se FY					Dhaor	. Cos	t and	Miles	tono	Sched	duloo.	by EV				
Phase	Beg		<=2009	2040	2011			-								2022	2022	2024->
Pliase	Бед	Ena	\-2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2010	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024->
SI	1988	1988	4															
RIFS		1993																
Study Comp		1993																
IRA		2001	√															
RD		2000	∢															
RA-C		2000	4															
Remedy in	Place	2001	◀••															
RA-O		2002	∢															
Response Cor	mplete	2002	◀ •••															
LTM	2002	2002	∢															
Site Types:																		
Underground Storage Tanks																		
-																		
Affected Media:																		
Groundwater																		
Remedies:																		
Other																		
Contaminants:																		
BTEX, Nonhalogenated VOCs																		

Maine

Installation Name:	Brunswick NAS	Military Service:	Navy
Installation FFID:	ME117002201800	State:	Maine

	Sites	Sites RC			
Total:	29	16			0 0
IRP:	26	16	Affected Acres:	434	Cost-to-Complete (\$K
MMRP:	3	0			

	Phas	e FY	,															
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA																		
SI	1981	2007	4															
RIFS	1985	2021	4															
Study Completion		2021													•			
IRA	1993	1998	4															
RD	1992	2013	4															
RA-C	1993	2021	4															
Remedy in Place		2021													••			
RA-O	1995	2043	4															▶
Response Complete		2043																••• 🕨
LTM	2001	2007	∢															

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Fill, Contaminated Ground Water, Surface Disposal Area, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Open Detonation, Pesticide Shop, Storage Area, Spill Site Area, Trap and Skeet Range, Underground Tank Farm, Underground Storage Tanks, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Ground Water Treatment, Air Sparging, Long-Term Monitoring, Bioremediation, Bioremediation - In Situ, Capping, Other, Waste Removal - Solids (non-soil)

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Phenols, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

\$36,862

Missouri

		Kansas (4
	Installation Name:					Milit	e: Na	ıvy													
	Installation FFID:	FFID: MO717006738600														e: Mi	Missouri				
	Sites	Sites R																			
	Total: Sites																				
	IRP: 1	0				Affe	ected	Acres:	A	5					Cost-	to-Co	mplete	e (\$K)	<u> </u>	\$605	
	MMRP: 0 0																				
			Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	stone	Sche	dules	by FY	1				
	Phase				<=2009	2010	2011											2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA																				
	SI		1994	1994	∢																
	RIFS			2003																	
	Study Com	npletion		2005	⋖・																
	IRA					<u> </u>															
	RD		الـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	لللم		₩'	<u> </u>	\blacksquare		\vdash	$\stackrel{\cdot}{=}$	<u> </u>		\sqsubseteq		=		\sqsubseteq			
	RA-C					₩		igspace		=											
	Remedy in			2005																	
	RA-O			2031																	
	Response Co	mplete		2032																••• 🕨	
	LTM																				
Site Typ																					
Contami	inated Ground Water																				
	d Media:																				
Not Rep	orted																				
Remedi	es:																				
Not Rep																					
Contam																					
Not Rep	orted																				

Pennsylvania

Installation Name: Willow Grove NAS Military Service: Navy PA317002231200 Pennsylvania **Installation FFID:** State:

Sites Sites RC Total: 14 11 IRP: 14 11 MMRP: 0 0

Affected Acres: 81 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$10,496

	Phas	se FY					Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY											
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA																		
SI	1987	1993	4															
RIFS	1991	2015	∢															
Study Completion		2015							•									
IRA	1989	1996	∢															
RD	1997	2016	∢															
RA-C	1989	2017	4															
Remedy in Place		2017									••							
RA-O	1999	2027	∢															·····•
Response Complete		2027																••• 🕨
LTM	2009	2044																▶

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Firing Range, Landfill, Storage Area, Spill Site Area, Underground Tank Farm, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Free Product Recovery

Contaminants:

Radioactive Materials, BTEX, Explosives and Propellants, Halogenated SVOCs, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Washington

	Installation Name:	Tacoma	NMC	RC										Milit	ary Se	ervice	: Na	ıvy			
	Installation FFID:	WA0170	00234	1500												State	: Wa	ashing	aton		
		1171011	<u> </u>													- Cluic			J.C.1.		
	Sites	Sites F	RC																		
	Total: 1 IRP: 1	1	-1			Affe	ected	Acres		0				(Cost-t	o-Coi	mplete	e (\$K)	: -	\$0	
	MMRP: 0	0															·				
			Dha	- FV					Dhaa	0-	4	N/III a a	4	Oabaa	ludaa	bee EV					
	Phase			se FY	4-2000	2040	2044			_	t and							2022	2022	2024=>	
	Phase PA		Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>	
	SI		1005	1995	4																
	RIFS		1990	1990																	
	Study Cor	mpletion		1995	٠.																
	IRA	присает		1994																	
	RD																				
	RA-C		1994	1995	∢																
	Remedy	in Place			◀••																
	RA-O																				
	Response C	omplete		1995	◀ •••																
	LTM																				
Site Typ	es:																				
	Ground Storage Tank																				
Affected	l Media:																				
Not Rep	orted																				
_		_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Remedie	es:																				
Other																					
Contam	inants:																				
Not Rep	orted																				

Air Force

Alaska

Installation Name:	Galena FOL	Military Service:	Air Force
Installation FFID:	AK057302865500	State:	Alaska

Sites	Sites RC
43	10
42	10
1	0
	43

Affected Acres: 162

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$104,011

	Phas	e FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1985	2012	4															
SI	1986	2011	4															
RIFS	1990	2014	∢															
Study Completion		2014						•										
IRA	1998	1998	4															
RD	2012	2015																
RA-C	2001	2016	4															
Remedy in Place		2016								••								
RA-O	2013	2020																
Response Complete		2020												•••				
LTM	2020	2999																▶

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Buildings, Contaminated Ground Water, Contaminated Sediments, Building Demolition/Debris Removal, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Oil/Water Separator, POL (Petroleum/Oil/Lubricants) Lines, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Ground Water Treatment, Soil Vapor Extraction

Contaminants:

Not Reported

California

	Installation Name:	Onizuka	AFS											Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ai	r Forc	e		
									_											_	
	Installation FFID:	CA9571	12573	600												State	: Ca	liforn	ia		
	211																				
	Sites	Sites F	RC																		
	Total: 5	5	-1			Affe	ected	Acres		0					Cost-1	o-Co	mplete	e (\$K)		\$0	
	IRP: 5 MMRP: 0	5	-1															- (+/			
	MININE.																				
			Phas	e FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	by FY	,				
	Phase		Beg	End	<= 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>	
	PA		1983	1985	∢																
	SI		1983	1985	4																
	RIFS		1983	1985	∢																
	Study Cor	mpletion		1985	⋖ •																
	IRA	•		1988	∢																
	RD			1985	4																
	RA-C			1985	∢																
	Remedy	in Place		1989	◀ ••																
	RA-O			1985																	
	Response C	Complete		1989																	
	LTM				_																
O'4 - T																					
Site Typ		0:1 4																			
Surface	Disposal Area, Spill S	Site Area																			
Affected																					
Surface	Water, Groundwater,	, Sedimer	nt, Soil																		
Remedie	es:																				
Remova																					
Contam	inants:																				
Not Rep	orted																				

Texas

Installation Name:	Brooks City-Base	Military Service:	Air Force
Installation FFID:	TX657172430300	State:	Texas

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	69	67
IRP:	69	67
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres: 145 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$4,355

	Phas	e FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	by FY	•			
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1985	2001	∢															
SI	1985	2002	4															
RIFS	1987	2014	4															
Study Comple	etion	2014						•										
IRA																		
RD	1994	1999	4															
RA-C	1994	1999	∢															
Remedy in F	Place	2014						••										
RA-O	1995	2020	4															
Response Com	plete	2020												•••				
LTM	1990	2999	∢															▶

Site Types:

Unknown Site Type, Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Buildings, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Oil/Water Separator, POL (Petroleum/Oil/Lubricants) Lines, Storage Area, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Trap and Skeet Range, Underground Storage Tanks, Waste Lines, Radioactive Waste Area, Waste Treatment Plant

Affected	Media:
----------	--------

Not Reported

Remedies:

Not Reported

Contaminants:

Not Reported

Wisconsin

Installation Name:	General Mitchell Air Force Base	Military Service:	Air Force
Installation FFID:	WI557122429900	State:	Wisconsin

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	10	10
IRP:	10	10
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres:

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$0

	Phas	se FY			Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY													
Phase	Beg	End	<=2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024=>
PA	1984	1995	4															
SI	1987	1999	∢															
RIFS	1989	1996	∢															
Study Completion		1999	⋖ •															
IRA																		
RD																		
RA-C	1996	1997	∢															
Remedy in Place		1999	◀ ••															
RA-O	1998	2004	4															
Response Complete		2004	◀ •••															
LTM	1997	2004	∢															

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Spill Site Area

Affected Media:

Surface Water, Groundwater, Sediment, Soil

Remedies:

Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Phenols, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Appendix II

Non-Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) Issues

Appendix 2

Non-DERP Environmental Remediation Issues

This appendix provides details of known environmental remediation issues at installations affected by BRAC 2005 actions that are not included in the Defense Environmental Remediation Program (DERP). Currently, 9 Army and 6 Air Force installations have environmental remediation issues that are not included in DERP. For each installation that has non-DERP remediation issues a description of those issues is proved that includes the type of remediation issue; its description; acreage affected; an estimate of the cost-to-complete; a description of the plans to address each issue; and timelines for addressing each remediation issue in terms of estimated start and completion dates. (Note: For certain types of issues "acreage affected" is not relevant and therefore identified as "NA").

The types of non-DERP environmental remediation issues included are as follows:

Operational Ranges: For operational ranges at installations subject to the BRAC 05 decisions, the Departmental may need to undertake appropriate remediation actions consistent with the proposed use of the area. An operational range is defined as a range under the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the Secretary of a military department, that is a designated land or water area set aside, managed, and used to conduct research on, develop, test, and evaluate military munitions and explosives, other ordnance, or weapon systems, or to train military personnel in their use and handling.

Asbestos: Includes both friable and nonfriable asbestos-related cleanup.

Landfill Closure: Equipment expected to be installed and facilities expected to be constructed (based on the landfill closure plan) near or after the time that the landfill stops accepting additional waste, as well as the costs of the initial cover (capping) to be applied when the landfill stops accepting additional waste. Landfill closures are operated under the provisions of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

Tank Closure: RCRA corrective actions associated with contamination produced by above or below ground storage tanks and/or activities associated with a "clean close" of a tank.

Building DECON: Actions associated with the decontamination of any building or other permanent or temporary structure.

Radiological DECON: Includes actions for the decommissioning and decontamination of any location for radiological materials subject to regulation by the NRC or the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

Permits to Site Closeouts: Includes actions associated with the closure and post-closure care of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities (TSDFs).

Notice of Violation: Includes actions associated with all unresolved Notices of Violation (e.g., Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) and water discharges pursuant to National Pollution Discharge Elimination Systems (NPDES) permits).

Other: Includes any other form of environmental cleanup not addressed in other categories.

Volume II - Appendix II

Table of Contents

State	Installation Name	Page
Army		117
California		119
	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	121
District of Colu	umbia	123
	Walter Reed Army Medical Center	125
Georgia		127
	Fort Gillem	129
	Fort McPherson	130
Indiana		131
	Newport Chemical Depot	133
Kansas		135
	Kansas Army Ammunition Plant	137
Mississippi		139
	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	141
Oregon		143
	Umatilla Chemical Depot	145
Texas		147
	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant	149
Utah		151
	Deseret Depot	153
Virginia		155
	Fort Monroe	157
Air Force		159
Alaska		161
	Galena FOL	163
	Kulis AGS	164
California		165
_	Onizuka Air Force Base	167
Texas		169
	Brooks City-Base	171
Wisconsin		173
	General Mitchell Air Force Base	175

Army

California

Riverbank AAP

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)			ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Permits to Site Closeout	RCRA B Permit Closure	0.0	\$240	Closure underway	Nov 2009	Oct 2017
	Investigate and Remediate Pumps and Pits	NA	\$0	Closure Underway	Oct 2012	May 2011
Building DECON	Galbestos Siding Removal	NA	\$0	Closure underway	Oct 2011	Jan 2014
Other	Parcel 1 -PCB Area	64.0	\$868	Closure Underway	Nov 2011	Oct 2013
Totals		64	\$1108		Nov 2009	Oct 2017

District of Columbia

WALTER REED MEDICAL CENTER

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS		ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
	Rad Decommissioning-Main Post	NA	\$1381	Closure underway	Oct 2010	Nov 2013
Building DECON	Rad Decommissioning-Forest Glen	NA	\$0	Closure underway	Oct 2008	Nov 2012
Building DECON	Tank Removals	NA	\$2573	Closure Underway	Oct 2008	Mar 2018
Totals		0	\$3954		Oct 2008	Mar 2018

Georgia

Ft Gillem

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS		ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Tank Closure	Close leaking underground storage tanks	0.0		Closure underway	Oct 2009	Sep 2015
Tank Closure	Close non-leaking underground storage tanks	0.0	\$0	Closure underway	Oct 2009	Oct 2015
Totals		0	\$0		Oct 2009	Oct 2015

Ft McPherson

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)			ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Tank Closure	Close leaking underground storage tanks.	0.0	\$0	Closure Complete	Oct 2009	Oct 2010
Operational Ranges	Investigate and remediate a small arms range.	2.0	\$0	Closure underway.	Jan 2006	Sep 2016
Tank Closure	Close non-leaking underground storage tanks.	0.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Oct 2009	Oct 2010
Totals		2	\$0		Jan 2006	Sep 2016

Indiana

Newport Depot

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Other	Remediate Shop Soil Contamination	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Aug 2010	Feb 2011
Other	Drums Along North Patrol Road	0.2	\$0	Closure complete	Aug 2010	Feb 2011
Other	Batteries Along Railroad Bed	0.1	\$0	Closure complete	Sep 2010	Dec 2011
Other	Building 401A	0.0	\$303	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Oct 2013
Building DECON	Structural Decon of Explosives Building	NA	\$0	Closure complete	Sep 2008	Jan 2011
Asbestos	Asbestos Burial Sites	NA	\$0	Closure complete	Jan 2010	Dec 2010
Tank Closure	Close underground storage tanks.	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Jan 2008	Dec 2011
Totals		502.3	\$303		Jan 2008	Oct 2013

Kansas

Kansas Army Ammunition Plant

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE		COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
, and the second	Explosive decontamination of buildings.	NA	\$0	Closure underway.	Oct 2009	Sep 2016
Operational Ranges	Close operational ranges (3)	100.0	\$3069	Closure underway	Jun 2009	Sep 2023
Landfill Closure	Close operational landfills	12.0	\$641	Closure underway	Nov 2008	Sep 2040
Tank Closure	Above ground storage tank closure	45.0	\$0	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Mar 2015
Other	Investigate/remediate PBC contaminated soils	100.0	\$0	Closure underway	Mar 2011	Sep 2013
Other	Investigate/remediate hazardous material/waste site	36.0	\$0	Closure underway	Jun 2009	Sep 2017
Operational Ranges	Investigate/remediate pistol range	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2009	Dec 2011
Other	Investigate and remediate an explosive waste incinerator (2)	2.5	\$0	Closure underway	Mar 2009	Sep 2013
Other	Contaminated Waste Processor	4.0	\$0	Closure complete	Feb 2009	Dec 2011
Other	Investigate/remediate Igloo Storage Areas 1700 & 1900	583.0	\$0	Closure underway	Dec 2009	Sep 2014
Other	Investigate/remediate Production Area Building Foundation	0.0	\$1258	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Dec 2016
Other	Investigate/remediate Production Area Outfall Sumps	0.0	\$122	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Dec 2015
Other	Survey/remediation of igloo storage area (Areas 1500 & 1600)	504.0	\$0	Closure underway	Oct 2009	Dec 2014
Other	Pond Area at 900 Area	1.0	\$134	Closure underway	Feb 2010	Sep 2014
Other	Historic Furnace Area	7.4	\$2123	Closure underway	Nov 2010	Sep 2019
Totals		1395.9	\$7347		Nov 2008	Sep 2040

Mississippi

Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE	COST TO	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE		START	COMPLETE
			(\$K)		DATE	DATE
Other	Projectile Metal Parts	62.0	\$0	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Jan 2014
Totals		62	\$0		Mar 2010	Jan 2014

Oregon

Umatilla Depot

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	-	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
_	Inactive Munitions Process Building	NA	\$1038	Closure Underway	Nov 2010	Oct 2014
Totals		0	\$1038		Nov 2010	Oct 2014

Texas

Lone Star AAP

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE		COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Landfill Closure	Close operational landfills	66.0	\$3274	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2013
Other	HIGH EXPLOSIVES DEMOLITION GROUND	157.0	\$36253	Fund requirements in FY13	Feb 2013	Sep 2046
Other	RCRA STORAGE AREA T-4-2	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	XX Test Area	52.0	\$5087	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Apr 2017
Other	3 Container Storage Areas	3.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	5 Pinkwater Treatment Plant Sites	5.0	\$1155	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Sep 2015
Other	28 Load Line Sites	1846.0	\$2590	Closure underway	Feb 2009	Sep 2015
Other	Lead Treatment Plant	1.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	Chrome Waste Water Treatment Facility	1.0	\$385	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Area BB RR Service Area	50.0	\$24	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Salvage Yard	10.0	\$380	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Inert Storage and Maintenance Area	5.0	\$431	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Building I-39 Yard	5.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Sep 2011
Other	2 Hazardous Waste Areas	2.0	\$223	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Dec 2015
Other	NRC License	0.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	Old Boston Road Landfill	18.0	\$8372	Fund requirements in FY12	Jan 2012	Sep 2017
Other	4 Igloos	1954.0	\$69	Closure underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	High Explosives Burning Ground	25.0	\$8013	Fund requirements in FY12	Feb 2012	Jun 2045
Other	Salvage Yard	10.0	\$842	Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Inert Storage and Maintenance Area	5.0	\$431	Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Building I-39 Yard	5.0	\$0	Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2011
Other	2 Hazardous Waste Areas	2.0	\$223	Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Dec 2015
Other	NRC License	0.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	Old Boston Road Landfill	18.0	\$8372	Fund requirements in FY15 to close	Jan 2012	Sep 2018
Other	4 Igloos	1954.0		Closure Underway	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	High Explosives Burning Ground	25.0		Fund requirements in FY13	Oct 2012	Jan 2026
Totals		6220	\$84206		Feb 2009	Sep 2046

Utah

Deseret Depot

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE	COST TO	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE		START	COMPLETE
			(\$K)		DATE	DATE
Other	OB/OD Grounds	1.0	\$3917	Closure Underway.	Sep 2011	Dec 2013
Totals		1	\$3917		Sep 2011	Dec 2013

Virginia

Ft Monroe

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)		_	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Tank Closure	Close underground storage tanks.	0.2	\$0	Closure complete.	Mar 2011	Oct 2011
Tank Closure	Close underground storage tanks	0.0	\$0	Closure complete	Mar 2011	Oct 2011
Other	Lead-based paint survey (housing)	0.0	\$0	Survey complete	Jan 2011	Oct 2011
Totals		0.2	\$0		Jan 2011	Oct 2011

Air Force

Alaska

Galena Airport FOL

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	_	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
	Investigations of contamination, remedial actions, and documentation	162.0		Investigate, install remediation, operate remediation systems, mandatory five year reviews and documentation	Sep 2008	Jan 2038
Totals		162	\$52.32		Sep 2008	Jan 2038

Kulis AGS

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	_	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Other	Complete close out of petroleum and hazardous waste sites	129.0		Complete close out actions and documentation.	Oct 2008	Sep 2011
Totals		129.0	\$300		Oct 2008	Sep 2011

California

Onizuka AFS

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE		DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	_	
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	COMPLETE DATE
0.11		22.2	. ,			
Other	Historic evaluation survey and	20.0	\$76	Execute plans to address	Oct 2008	Sep 2011
	report, and environmental			issues.		
	documentation of conditions.					
Totals		20	\$76		Oct 2008	Sep 2011

Texas

Brooks City-Base

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE		COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Permits to Site Closeout	EIS/EBS (Final Environmental Condition Document)	11.0	\$234	Prepare Supplemental EBS and update VSIs for all facilities, prepare other environmental documents that may be required.	Oct 2008	Nov 2011
Radiological DECON	Radiological operating sites require DECON	NA	\$6695	B1193 is major cost driver since to remove the equipment requires the roof be removed and then replaced afterwards. Nine smaller facilities will have the equipment removed and the radiological work areas DECON.		Mar 2012
Permits to Site Closeout	Solid and hazardous waste was generated at base	11.0	\$1809	Identify, manage and dispose of solid and hazardous wastes generated by mission activities. (Project reduced by \$293K in FY08 to add to funded accelerated IRP site requirements to reduce site closure time from 2020 to 2011.)	Aug 2010	Sep 2011
Tank Closure	UST at AAFES gas station	0.5		Remove UST at AAFES gas station, investigate and remediate as needed.	Oct 2009	Mar 2014
Asbestos	Asbestos, radon and PCB at various sites.	NA	\$9748	Investigate ACM, radon, and PCB in historic area and all mission-related facilities as needed and remediate as necessary. Asbestos is expected to dominate this effort.	Oct 2007	Sep 2011
Totals		22.5	\$18677		Oct 2007	Sep 2011

Wisconsin

Gen Mitchell IAP ARS

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE	COST TO	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	COMPLETE DATE
211	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100.0				
Other	Site close outs, facilities corrective actions such as asbestos removal and documentation	102.0		Contract actions being implemented for execution.	Sep 2008	Feb 2010
Totals		102	\$1.55		Sep 2008	Feb 2010

Appendix III Section 2907 Law

Appendix 3

Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Public Law 101-510, as Amended

SEC. 2907. REPORTS

As part of the budget request for fiscal year 2007 and for each fiscal year thereafter for the Department of Defense, the Secretary shall transmit to the congressional defense committees of Congress:

- (1) a schedule of the closure actions to be carried out under this part in the fiscal year for which the request is made and an estimate of the total expenditures required and cost savings to be achieved by each such closure and of the time period in which these savings are to be achieved in each case, together with the Secretary's assessment of the environmental effects of such actions;
- (2) a description of the military installations, including those under construction and those planned for construction, to which functions are to be transferred as a result of such closures, together with the Secretary's assessment of the environmental effects of such transfers;
- (3) a description of the closure actions already carried out at each military installation since the date of the installation's approval for closure under this part and the current status of the closure of the installation, including whether
 - (A) a redevelopment authority has been recognized by the Secretary for the installation:
 - (B) the screening of property at the installation for other Federal use has been completed; and
 - (C) a redevelopment plan has been agreed to by the redevelopment authority for the installation;
- (4) a description of redevelopment plans for military installations approved for closure under this part, the quantity of property remaining to be disposed of at each installation as part of its closure, and the quantity of property already disposed of at each installation;
- (5) a list of the Federal agencies that have requested property during the screening process for each military installation approved for closure under this part, including the date of transfer or anticipated transfer of the property to such agencies, the acreage involved in such transfers, and an explanation for any delays in such transfers;
- (6) a list of known environmental remediation issues at each military installation approved for closure under this part, including the acreage affected by these issues, an estimate of the cost to complete such environmental remediation, and the plans (and timelines) to address such environmental remediation; and
- (7) an estimate of the date for the completion of all closure actions at each military installation approved for closure under this part.